


RIKI – Research Institute for Key Indicators Data Laboratory Penn State University Edna Bennett Pierce Prevention Research Center and NARA

*in strategic partnership with NARA –
National Association for Regulatory
Administration and affiliated with the
Penn State University Edna Bennett
Pierce Prevention Research Center*

Theory of Regulatory Compliance, Key Indicators, Risk Assessment and Dichotomization Graphic

Posted on [December 24, 2023](#) by [Dr Fiene](#)

Here is a graphic that captures the relationship of the Theory of Regulatory Compliance, Key Indicators, Risk Assessment, and the dichotomization of licensing data (all these topics have been discussed at great length in the RIKINotes Blog over the past year):



A picture is worth a 1000 words, but in the above case, I am sure a couple of words of explanation would be helpful for those who are left hemisphere dominated rather than right hemisphere dominated as I am. Here are the essential elements of the above graphic.


RA = Risk Assessment rules insures that all the high risk rules are in compliance. This is non-negotiable, all of them are in place for any type of inspection review: full, comprehensive and/or abbreviated. KI = Key Indicators are a bit more flexible because it is based upon probabilities and the predictor rules are generally not as heavily weighted as is the case with risk assessment rules.

The bottom line is that regulatory compliance is important in ensuring that clients are safe and healthy. However, the relationship with quality is a bit more complex based upon the Theory of Regulatory Compliance. There is not

the same relationship to program quality as there is to health & safety. Substantial compliance appears to be more effective in determining overall program quality rather than full regulatory compliance with all rules. That is depicted in the curvilinear relationship between Regulatory Compliance (RC) and Program Quality (PQ) as one moves along the RC Levels (1 – 4 = Full – Low Compliance).

And finally, data dichotomization helps to eliminate false negatives and decrease the impact of false positives when taken to the extremes (moving from a 25/50/25 model to 5/90/5 model in distinguishing between high and low regulatory compliance (KI+/RA+ & KI-/RA-)). The rules will not change usually but their phi coefficients will increase significantly. Data dichotomization is not generally recommended but with the extreme skewness in licensing data it is warranted and fits with the measurement of licensing data at the nominal level as well as the theoretical structure of the data distribution based upon full and substantial levels of regulatory compliance being the predominant number of programs. There generally are far fewer programs at a medium or low level of regulatory compliance.

The above graphic helps to summarize several concepts related to differential monitoring and the theory of regulatory compliance. It is suggested that previous RIKINotes posts and the RIKI Selected Publications webpage be consulted for a more detailed rendition of what is presented in this post. The technical research notes on the RIKI Selected Publications provide a more in-depth analysis of the above concepts.



About Dr Fiene

Dr. Rick Fiene has spent his professional career in improving the quality of child care in various states, nationally, and internationally. He has done extensive research and publishing on the key components in improving child care quality through an early childhood program quality indicator model of training, technical assistance, quality rating & improvement systems, professional development, mentoring, licensing, risk assessment, differential program monitoring, and accreditation. Dr. Fiene is a retired professor of human development & psychology (Penn State University) where he was department head and director of the Capital Area Early Childhood Research and Training Institute.

[View all posts by Dr Fiene →](#)

This entry was posted in [RIKInstitute](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#).

RIKI – Research Institute for Key Indicators Data Laboratory Penn State University Edna Bennett Pierce Prevention Research Center and NARA



Full versus Substantial Regulatory Compliance

Richard Fiene PhD

Research Institute for Key Indicators/Penn State University

December 2023

This research abstract builds off several other research abstracts/notes in this series on regulatory compliance. It will attempt to take a more overview approach than the more technical and methodological approaches utilized in previous posts.

There is an important distinction when it comes to regulatory compliance related to levels of compliance: Full or 100% regulatory compliance with no violations and substantial regulatory compliance where there may be 1-2 violations of low-risk rules/regulations. The goal of any licensing or regulatory system is to have programs meet all rules/regulations/standards. This has been an important focus of all licensing/regulatory agencies throughout the US, Canada and the world.

But this goal needs to be altered a bit based upon several research studies conducted by this author over several decades in which full regulatory compliance does not equate with a high-quality program. While this empirical result may change our thinking about the relationship related to full regulatory compliance and substantial regulatory compliance which appears to be more related to program quality, it does not alter the need for full regulatory compliance in making predictions of overall regulatory compliance in the selection of key predictor rules. In order to eliminate false negatives in licensing decision making, full regulatory compliance is critical as a continuous goal.

Substantial regulatory compliance turned out to be an important discovery related to the theory of regulatory compliance where programs at this level demonstrated a higher level of program quality than those programs that were in full 100% regulatory compliance. It had been assumed up until the introduction of the theory of regulatory compliance that full regulatory compliance equated to high program quality. Since then, substantial regulatory compliance and the issuance of licenses based upon substantial rather than full regulatory compliance is a sound public policy approach.

However, when utilizing the key indicator methodology for identifying predictor rules, full regulatory compliance is still the paradigm that needs to be employed. It is the only safeguard to decrease and/or eliminate false negatives in which additional regulatory non-compliance could occur when full regulatory compliance is attained with the key indicator tool.

The overall key element is that substantial compliance does not replace full compliance in license decision making. It is predominant when it comes to the theory of regulatory compliance but has a back seat when it comes to identifying predictor rules unless an adjustment is made to the 2 x 2 Key Indicator Matrix which has been addressed in previous posts. The use of substantial compliance is also a key measurement component of the Regulatory Compliance Scale which has been introduced as an alternative to licensing violation data. However, full compliance will remain as the goal of any key indicator predictor rule method.

In conclusion, full compliance equates to a healthy and safe environment, but it does not necessarily mean it is of the highest quality. Within a regulatory compliance schema, substantial compliance appears more related to program quality. Risk assessment rules are always in compliance in either one of these scenarios.

The Uncertainty-Certainty Matrix for Licensing Decision Making: Policy and Program Implications

Richard Fiene PhD

Research Institute for Key Indicators Data Lab/Penn State University

December 2023

This abstract will take the Confusion Matrix which is a well-known metric in the decision-making research literature and refocus it for regulatory science within the context of the definition of regulatory compliance and licensing measurement. It will also deal with the policy implications of this particular metric. In this abstract, it is proposed that the Uncertainty-Certainty Matrix (UCM) is a fundamental building block to licensing decision making. The 2 x 2 matrix has been written about in several posts in this blog and is the center piece for determining key indicator rules, but it is also a core conceptual framework in licensing measurement and ultimately in program monitoring and reviews.

The reason for selecting this matrix is the nature of licensing data, it is binary or nominal in measurement. Either a rule/regulation is in compliance or out of compliance. Presently most jurisdictions deal with regulatory compliance measurement in this nominal level or binary level. There is to be no gray area, this is a clear distinction in making a licensing decision about regulatory compliance. The UCM also takes the concept of Inter-Rater Reliability (IRR) a step further in introducing an uncertainty dimension that is very important in licensing decision making which is not as critical when calculating IRR. It is moving from an individual metric to a group metric (See Figures 1 & 2) involving regulatory compliance with rules.

The key pieces to the UCM are the following: the decision (D) regarding regulatory compliance and actual state (S) of regulatory compliance. Plus (+) = In-compliance or Minus (-) = Out of compliance. So, let's build the matrix:

Table 1: Uncertainty-Certainty Matrix (UCM) Logic Model

UCM Matrix Logic		Decision (D) Regarding	
		(+) In Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance
Actual State (S) of	(+) In Compliance	Agreement	Disagreement
Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	Disagreement	Agreement

The above UCM matrix demonstrates when agreement and disagreement occur which establishes a level of certainty (Agreement Cells) or uncertainty (Disagreement Cells). In a perfect world, there would only be agreements and no disagreements between the decisions made about regulatory compliance and the actual state of regulatory compliance. But from experience, this is not the case based upon reliability testing done in the licensing research field in which a decision is made regarding regulatory compliance with a specific rule or regulation and then that is verified by a second observer who generally is considered the measurement standard.

Disagreements raise concerns in general, but the disagreements are of two types: false positives and false negatives. A false positive is when a decision is made that a rule/regulation is out of compliance when it is in compliance. Not a good thing but its twin disagreement is worse where with false negatives it is decided that a rule/regulation is in compliance when it is out of compliance. False negatives need to be avoided because they

place clients at extreme risk, more so than a false positive. False positives should also be avoided but it is more important to deal with the false negatives first before addressing the false positives.

Let's look at this from a mathematical point of view in the following matrix. In order to better understand the above relationships and determine when ameliorative action needs to occur to shore up the differences between the agreements and disagreements, it is easier to do this mathematically than trying to eyeball it.

Table 2: Uncertainty-Certainty Matrix (UCM) Math Model

UCM Matrix Math Model		Decision (D) Regarding	Regulatory Compliance	Totals
		(+) In Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	
Actual State (S) Of Compliance	(+) In Compliance	A	B	Y
	(-) Not In Compliance	C	D	Z
Totals		W	X	

Formulae based upon above: Agreements = (A)(D); Disagreements = (B)(C); Randomness = sqrt ((W)(X)(Y)(Z))

UCM Coefficient = ((A)(D)) - ((B)(C)) / sqrt ((W)(X)(Y)(Z)) in which a coefficient closer to 1 indicates agreement (certainty) and a coefficient closer to -1 indicates disagreement (uncertainty). A coefficient closer to 0 indicates randomness. Obviously, we want to see (A)(D) being predominant and very little in (B)(C) which are false positives and negatives where decisions and the actual state of regulatory compliance are not matching. If (WXYZ) is predominant then there is just randomness in the data. Also, not an intended result.

The reason for even suggesting this matrix is the high level of dissatisfaction with the levels of reliability in the results of program monitoring reviews as suggested earlier. If it were not so high, it would not be an issue; but with it being so high the field of licensing needs to take a proactive role in determining the best possible way to deal with increasing inter-rater reliability among licensing inspectors. Hopefully, this organizational schema via the UCM Matrix will help to think through this process related to licensing measurement and monitoring systems.

$$UCM = \ll A \times D \gg - \ll B \times C \gg \div \sqrt{\ll W \times X \times Y \times Z \gg}$$

The above formula provides a means to calculate when action needs to be taken based upon the respective UCM coefficients. A UCM coefficient from +.25 to +1.00 is in the acceptable range; +.24 to -.24 is due to randomness and needs to be addressed with additional inter-rater reliability training; -.25 to -1.00 indicates a severe disagreement problem that needs to be addressed both in reliability training and a full review of the targeted rules/regulations to determine if the specific rule needs additional clarification.

Table 3: Uncertainty-Certainty Matrix (UCM) Licensing Decision Coefficient Ranges

UCM Coefficient	Licensing Decision
+.25 to +1.00	Acceptable, No Action Needed, In or Out of Regulatory Compliance Verified through mostly Agreements. (Generally, 90% of cases)
+.24 to -.24	Random, Agreements + Disagreements, Needs Reliability Training. (Generally, 5% of cases)
-.25 to -1.00	Unacceptable, Mostly Disagreements, Needs Training & Rule/Regulation Revision. (Generally, 5% of cases)

Figure 1: Kappa Coefficient

$$\kappa = \frac{p_o - p_e}{1 - p_e}$$

Observed agreement
/
Expected agreement if
random judgment

Figure 2: Uncertainty-Certainty Coefficient

$$\phi = \frac{ad - bc}{\sqrt{(a+b)(c+d)(a+c)(b+d)}}$$
$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{n}}$$

Let's provide an example of how this could work. A standard/rule/regulation that is common is the following:

Do all caregivers/teachers and children wash their hands often, especially before eating and after using the bathroom or changing diapers?

This is obviously an observation item where the licensing staff would observe in a sample of classrooms in a child care center for a set period of time. During their observations, there were several opportunities where the necessary behavior was required, and the staff complied with the rule and washed their hands. So, on the surface this specific rule was in compliance and there would appear to be full compliance with this rule based upon the observation.

A second scenario is where the observation is made, and the licensing staff observes the child care staff not washing their hands on several occasions. Then this specific rule would be out of compliance, and it would be duly noted by the licensing staff. These two scenarios establish a certain level of certainty during this observation session. However, there are other outcomes, for example, possibly one of the classrooms that was not observed had the opposite finding than what was observed in these particular classrooms. If data were being aggregated and a specific percentage was to be used the final decision about this rule could be different. Now we are getting into the uncertainty cells of the matrix where a false positive or negative could be the result. The licensing staff records the rule as being in compliance when in reality it is not = false negative or the rule is recorded as being out of compliance when in reality it is in compliance = false positive.

Another example which involves either Random Clinical Trials (RCT) or the use of abbreviated inspections (AI) and the results from these two interventions. The decision making in both RCT and AI is

basically the same. We want to make sure that the results match reality. Every time an abbreviated review is done the following four regulatory compliance results should occur based upon the UCM matrix: 1) no additional random non-compliance is found; 2) there are no false negatives (abbreviated review finds no non-compliance but in reality there is); 3) when there is non-compliance found in abbreviated inspections, other related non-compliance is found; and 4) lastly the level of false positives (abbreviated review finds non-compliance but in reality there are no other related non-compliances) is kept to a minimum. This last result based upon copious research is that it is difficult to obtain but as the regulatory science moves forward hopefully this will become more manageable.

Hopefully these above examples provided some context for how the Uncertainty-Certainty Matrix (UCM) can be used in making specific licensing decisions based upon the regulatory compliance results.

Richard Fiene PhD, Research Psychologist/Regulatory Scientist, Research Institute for Key Indicators Data Laboratory/Penn State University.
rfiene@rikoinstitute.com

UCM Matrix: Uncertain-Certainty Matrix

<i>Certain</i> A	<i>UnCertain</i> B	<i>UnCertain</i> C	<i>Certain</i> D	<i>Random</i> A+B	<i>Random</i> A+C	<i>Random</i> B+D	<i>Random</i> C+D	<i>Certain</i> A*D	<i>UnCertain</i> B*C	<i>Random</i> SUM	<i>Random</i> SQRT	<i>+/-</i> SUB	<i>+/-</i> PHI	<i>Matrix</i> Result
	50	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	2500	0	6250000	2500	2500	1 <i>Certain</i>
	25	25	25	25	50	50	50	50	625	625	6250000	2500	0	0 <i>Random</i>
	0	50	50	0	50	50	50	50	0	2500	6250000	2500	-2500	-1 <i>Uncertain</i>

UCM Matrix Logic		Decision Regarding	Regulatory Compliance
		(+) In Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance
Actual State of	(+) In Compliance	Agreement	Disagreement
Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	Disagreement	Agreement

The Model

UCM Matrix Logic		Decision Regarding	Regulatory Compliance
		(+) In Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance
Actual State of	(+) In Compliance	50	0
Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	0	50

Certain Matrix

UCM Matrix Logic		Decision Regarding	Regulatory Compliance
		(+) In Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance
Actual State of	(+) In Compliance	25	25
Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	25	25

Random Matrix

UCM Matrix Logic		Decision Regarding	Regulatory Compliance
		(+) In Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance
Actual State of	(+) In Compliance	0	50
Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	50	0

Uncertain Matrix

Formula:

$$\phi = \frac{ad - bc}{\sqrt{(a+b)(c+d)(a+c)(b+d)}}$$

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{n}}$$

UCM Matrix Math Model		Decision Regarding	Regulatory Compliance	Totals
		(+) In Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	
Actual State Of	(+) In Compliance	A	B	Y
Compliance	(-) Not In Compliance	C	D	Z
Totals		W	X	

Threshold Models for 2 x 2 Uncertainty-Certainty Matrices

Richard Fiene PhD

Research Institute for Key Indicators/Penn State University

December 2023

This abstract will provide the SPSS outputs for the phi coefficients in utilizing various threshold models for the 2 x 2 Uncertainty-Certainty Matrices for determining key indicators. It is intended for regulatory scientists and licensing researchers who will be more interested in this statistical presentation. It follows the two previous RIKINotes posts on the UCM Matrix and the graphic representation of the theory of regulatory compliance, key Indicators, and risk assessments. In fact, these three posts should be read together to get the full understanding of this modeling technique.

The abstract presents three threshold models: 25/50/25, 10/80/10, and 5/90/5. These models are utilized to determine the high and low regulatory compliance groups that will be used to sort and select the respective key indicators for a jurisdiction's set of rules/regulations. These models are based upon the dichotomization statistical technique which is utilized given the nature of the regulatory compliance data being so severely skewed in a positive fashion towards full compliance with all rules.

It will be noticed in all the models that the key indicators do not change, they are stable which is not surprising since licensing key indicators do not change a great deal over time nor across jurisdictions. The original 13 key indicators that were identified in 2002 in the ASPE publication **Thirteen Health and Safety Key Indicators** has not changed a great deal over the past two decades. What does change is the significance of the phi coefficients in becoming more statistically significant as we increase the dichotomization of the model in moving from 25/50/25 --> 5/90/5 threshold models in order to eliminate false negatives and decrease false positives. However, with that said, there are limits to this dichotomization in which in some cases phi coefficients may drop off because of the cell sizes in the 2 x 2 UCM Matrix becoming smaller.

The threshold models are included as three attachments to this abstract with the phi coefficients for each rule. These data are taken from a western US state but they clearly represent what is found in any jurisdiction when doing this type of analysis related to the threshold models.

GET

GET FILE="/home/MyDropbox/ACTIVE/KIM/NM ECECD CCC KIM3.sav".

CROSSTABS

CROSSTABS

```

/TABLES= High_Low BY A_Types_of_Licenses
B_Renewal_of_License D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License A_K
M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals E
F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities D_Complaints
A_Licensing_Requirements B_Capacity_of_Centers
B_3_c_Capacity_of_Centers C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements
A_Administrative_Records B_Mission
Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement C_Policy_and_Procedures
D_Family_Handbook E_Children_s_Records F_Personnel_Records
G_Personnel_Handbook A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements
B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes
A_Guidance A1_Guidance B_Naps_or_Rest_Period
C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers
D_Diapering_and_Toileting
E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs
F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care G_Physical_Environment
H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment I_Equipment_and_Program
J_Outdoor_Play_Areas K_Swimming_Wading_and_Water L_Field_Trips
A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements B_Meals_and_Snacks B3_Meals_and_Snacks
C_Menus D_Kitchens E_Meal_Times A_Hygiene B_First_Aid_Requirements
C_Medication A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers
A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers A_Housekeeping
B_Pest_Control C_Mechanical_Systems D_Water_and_Waste E_Lighting
Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical F_Exits_and_Windows
G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities H_Safety_Compliance
H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance I_Smoking_Firearms Alcoholic_Beverages
Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances J_Pets
/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES PIVOT
/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI
/CELLS=COUNT ROW COLUMN TOTAL.

```

Summary.

	Cases
	Valid
	N
High_Low * A_Types_of_Licenses	143

	Cases
	Valid
	N
High_Low * B_Renewal_of_License	148
High_Low * D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License	132
High_Low * A	120
High_Low * K	165
High_Low * M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals	128
High_Low * E	205
High_Low * F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities	242
High_Low * D_Complaints	253
High_Low * A_Licensing_Requirements	134
High_Low * B_Capacity_of_Centers	253
High_Low * B_3_c_Capacity_of_Centers	233
High_Low * C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements	239
High_Low * A_Administrative_Records	180
High_Low * B_Mission	251
High_Low * Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement	253
High_Low * C_Policy_and_Procedures	174
High_Low * D_Family_Handbook	251
High_Low * E_Children_s_Records	253
High_Low * F_Personnel_Records	252
High_Low * G_Personnel_Handbook	250
High_Low * A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements	251
High_Low * B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training	244
High_Low * C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes	169
High_Low * A_Guidance	250
High_Low * A1_Guidance	162
High_Low * B_Naps_or_Rest_Period	28
High_Low * C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers	253
High_Low * D_Diapering_and_Toileting	251
High_Low * E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs	253
High_Low * F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care	250
High_Low * G_Physical_Environment	51
High_Low * H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment	61
High_Low * I_Equipment_and_Program	230
High_Low * J_Outdoor_Play_Areas	238
High_Low * K_Swimming	243
High_Low * Wading_and_Water	239

	Cases
	Valid
	N
High_Low * L_Field_Trips	228
High_Low * A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements	221
High_Low * B_Meals_and_Snacks	253
High_Low * B3_Meals_and_Snacks	253
High_Low * C_Menus	209
High_Low * D_Kitchens	208
High_Low * E_Meal_Times	121
High_Low * A_Hygiene	253
High_Low * B_First_Aid_Requirements	171
High_Low * C_Medication	252
High_Low * A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers	248
High_Low * A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers	253
High_Low * A_Housekeeping	253
High_Low * B_Pest_Control	253
High_Low * C_Mechanical_Systems	252
High_Low * D_Water_and_Waste	252
High_Low * E_Lighting	217
High_Low * Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical	36
High_Low * F_Exits_and_Windows	0
High_Low * G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities	0
High_Low * H_Safety_Compliance	0
High_Low * H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance	0
High_Low * I_Smoking	0
High_Low * Firearms	0
High_Low * Alcoholic_Beverages	0
High_Low * Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances	0
High_Low * J_Pets	0

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent
56.1%	112	43.9%	255	100.0%
58.0%	107	42.0%	255	100.0%
51.8%	123	48.2%	255	100.0%
47.1%	135	52.9%	255	100.0%
64.7%	90	35.3%	255	100.0%
50.2%	127	49.8%	255	100.0%
80.4%	50	19.6%	255	100.0%

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
94.9%	13	5.1%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
52.5%	121	47.5%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
91.4%	22	8.6%	255	100.0%
93.7%	16	6.3%	255	100.0%
70.6%	75	29.4%	255	100.0%
98.4%	4	1.6%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
68.2%	81	31.8%	255	100.0%
98.4%	4	1.6%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
98.8%	3	1.2%	255	100.0%
98.0%	5	2.0%	255	100.0%
98.4%	4	1.6%	255	100.0%
95.7%	11	4.3%	255	100.0%
66.3%	86	33.7%	255	100.0%
98.0%	5	2.0%	255	100.0%
63.5%	93	36.5%	255	100.0%
11.0%	227	89.0%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
98.4%	4	1.6%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
98.0%	5	2.0%	255	100.0%
20.0%	204	80.0%	255	100.0%
23.9%	194	76.1%	255	100.0%
90.2%	25	9.8%	255	100.0%
93.3%	17	6.7%	255	100.0%
95.3%	12	4.7%	255	100.0%
93.7%	16	6.3%	255	100.0%
89.4%	27	10.6%	255	100.0%
86.7%	34	13.3%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
82.0%	46	18.0%	255	100.0%
81.6%	47	18.4%	255	100.0%
47.5%	134	52.5%	255	100.0%

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
67.1%	84	32.9%	255	100.0%
98.8%	3	1.2%	255	100.0%
97.3%	7	2.7%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
99.2%	2	0.8%	255	100.0%
98.8%	3	1.2%	255	100.0%
98.8%	3	1.2%	255	100.0%
85.1%	38	14.9%	255	100.0%
14.1%	219	85.9%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%
0.0%	255	100.0%	255	100.0%

High_Low * A_Types_of_Licenses [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Types_of_Licenses</i>	
	1	Total
0	61.00 100.00% 42.66% 42.66%	61.00 100.00% 42.66% 42.66%
1	82.00 100.00% 57.34% 57.34%	82.00 100.00% 57.34% 57.34%
Total	143.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	143.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	143		

High_Low * B_Renewal_of_License [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Renewal_of_License</i>	
	1	Total
0	66.00	66.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	44.59%	44.59%
	44.59%	44.59%
1	82.00	82.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	55.41%	55.41%
	55.41%	55.41%
Total	148.00	148.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	148		

High_Low * D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License</i>	
	1	Total
0	59.00	59.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	44.70%	44.70%
	44.70%	44.70%
1	73.00	73.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	55.30%	55.30%
	55.30%	55.30%
Total	132.00	132.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	132		

High_Low * A [count, row %, column %, total %].

High_Low	A	
	1	Total
0	51.00	51.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	42.50%	42.50%
	42.50%	42.50%
1	69.00	69.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	57.50%	57.50%
	57.50%	57.50%
Total	120.00	120.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

Statistic	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
N of Valid Cases	120		

High_Low * K [count, row %, column %, total %].

High_Low	K	
	1	Total
0	83.00	83.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	50.30%	50.30%
	50.30%	50.30%
1	82.00	82.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	49.70%	49.70%
	49.70%	49.70%
Total	165.00	165.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

Statistic	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
N of Valid Cases	165		

High_Low * M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals</i>		Total
		1	
0	58.00	70.00	128.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	45.31%	54.69%	100.00%
	45.31%	54.69%	100.00%
1	70.00	70.00	140.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	54.69%	54.69%	100.00%
	54.69%	54.69%	100.00%
Total	128.00	128.00	256.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	128		

High_Low * E [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	2.00	99.00	101.00
	1.98%	98.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	48.77%	49.27%
	.98%	48.29%	49.27%
1	.00	104.00	104.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	51.23%	50.73%
	.00%	50.73%	50.73%
Total	2.00	203.00	205.00
	.98%	99.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.98%	99.02%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	2.08	1	.149		
Likelihood Ratio	2.85	1	.091		
Fisher's Exact Test				.353	.242

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Continuity Correction	.54	1	.464		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.07	1	.150		
N of Valid Cases	205				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.10			
	Cramer's V	.10			
N of Valid Cases		205			

High_Low * F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	10.00	113.00	123.00
	8.13%	91.87%	100.00%
	100.00%	48.71%	50.83%
	4.13%	46.69%	50.83%
<i>1</i>	.00	119.00	119.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	51.29%	49.17%
	.00%	49.17%	49.17%
<i>Total</i>	10.00	232.00	242.00
	4.13%	95.87%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	4.13%	95.87%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	10.09	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	13.95	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Continuity Correction	8.14	1	.004		
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.05	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	242				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.20			
	Cramer's V	.20			
N of Valid Cases		242			

High_Low * D_Complaints [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Complaints</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	33.00	97.00	130.00
	25.38%	74.62%	100.00%
	100.00%	44.09%	51.38%
	13.04%	38.34%	51.38%
1	.00	123.00	123.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	55.91%	48.62%
	.00%	48.62%	48.62%
Total	33.00	220.00	253.00
	13.04%	86.96%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	13.04%	86.96%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	35.91	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	48.63	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	33.70	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Linear-by-Linear Association	35.76	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.38			
	Cramer's V	.38			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * A_Licensing_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Licensing_Requirements</i>		<i>Total</i>
	1		
0	60.00	60.00	60.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	44.78%	44.78%	44.78%
	44.78%	44.78%	44.78%
1	74.00	74.00	74.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	55.22%	55.22%	55.22%
	55.22%	55.22%	55.22%
Total	134.00	134.00	134.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	134		

High_Low * B_Capacity_of_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Capacity_of_Centers</i>		<i>Total</i>
	0	1	
0	49.00	81.00	130.00
	37.69%	62.31%	100.00%
	94.23%	40.30%	51.38%
	19.37%	32.02%	51.38%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Capacity_of_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
1	3.00	120.00	123.00
	2.44%	97.56%	100.00%
	5.77%	59.70%	48.62%
	1.19%	47.43%	48.62%
Total	52.00	201.00	253.00
	20.55%	79.45%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	20.55%	79.45%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	48.10	1	.000	.000	.000
Likelihood Ratio	56.57	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	45.97	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	47.91	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.44			
	Cramer's V	.44			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * B_3_c Capacity_of_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_3_c Capacity_of_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	2.00	111.00	113.00
	1.77%	98.23%	100.00%
	100.00%	48.05%	48.50%
	.86%	47.64%	48.50%
1	.00	120.00	120.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_3_c_Capacity_of_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	51.95%	51.50%
	.00%	51.50%	51.50%
Total	2.00	231.00	233.00
	.86%	99.14%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.86%	99.14%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	2.14	1	.143		
Likelihood Ratio	2.91	1	.088		
Fisher's Exact Test				.354	.234
Continuity Correction	.57	1	.451		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.13	1	.144		
N of Valid Cases	233				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.10			
	Cramer's V	.10			
N of Valid Cases		233			

High_Low * C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	6.00	111.00	117.00
	5.13%	94.87%	100.00%
	100.00%	47.64%	48.95%
	2.51%	46.44%	48.95%
1	.00	122.00	122.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	52.36%	51.05%
	.00%	51.05%	51.05%
Total	6.00	233.00	239.00
	2.51%	97.49%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	2.51%	97.49%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	6.42	1	.011		
Likelihood Ratio	8.73	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.016	.013
Continuity Correction	4.49	1	.034		
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.39	1	.011		
N of Valid Cases	239				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.16			
	Cramer's V	.16			
N of Valid Cases		239			

High_Low * A_Administrative_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Administrative_Records</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	2.00	83.00	85.00
	2.35%	97.65%	100.00%
	100.00%	46.63%	47.22%
	1.11%	46.11%	47.22%
1	.00	95.00	95.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Administrative_Records</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	53.37%	52.78%
	.00%	52.78%	52.78%
Total	2.00	178.00	180.00
	1.11%	98.89%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.11%	98.89%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	2.26	1	.133	.354	.222
Likelihood Ratio	3.03	1	.082		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.63	1	.429		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.25	1	.134		
N of Valid Cases	180				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.11			
	Cramer's V	.11			
N of Valid Cases		180			

High_Low * B_Mission [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Mission</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	107.00	22.00	129.00
	82.95%	17.05%	100.00%
	85.60%	17.46%	51.39%
	42.63%	8.76%	51.39%
1	18.00	104.00	122.00
	14.75%	85.25%	100.00%
	14.40%	82.54%	48.61%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Mission</i>		Total
	0	1	
	7.17%	41.43%	48.61%
Total	125.00	126.00	251.00
	49.80%	50.20%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	49.80%	50.20%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	116.63	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	128.02	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	113.92	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	116.16	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	251				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.68			
	Cramer's V	.68			
N of Valid Cases		251			

High_Low * Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	115.00	14.00	129.00
	89.15%	10.85%	100.00%
	78.23%	13.21%	50.99%
	45.45%	5.53%	50.99%
1	32.00	92.00	124.00
	25.81%	74.19%	100.00%
	21.77%	86.79%	49.01%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement</i>		Total
	0	1	
	12.65%	36.36%	49.01%
Total	147.00	106.00	253.00
	58.10%	41.90%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	58.10%	41.90%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	104.20	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	113.84	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	101.62	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	103.79	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.64			
	Cramer's V	.64			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * C_Policy_and_Procedures [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Policy_and_Procedures</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	1.00	84.00	85.00
	1.18%	98.82%	100.00%
	100.00%	48.55%	48.85%
	.57%	48.28%	48.85%
1	.00	89.00	89.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	51.45%	51.15%
	.00%	51.15%	51.15%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Policy_and_Procedures</i>		Total
	0	1	
Total	1.00	173.00	174.00
	.57%	99.43%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.57%	99.43%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	1.05	1	.305	.864	.489
Likelihood Ratio	1.44	1	.230		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.00	1	.982		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.05	1	.306		
N of Valid Cases	174				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.08			
	Cramer's V	.08			
N of Valid Cases		174			

High_Low * D_Family_Handbook [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Family_Handbook</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	19.00	109.00	128.00
	14.84%	85.16%	100.00%
	95.00%	47.19%	51.00%
	7.57%	43.43%	51.00%
1	1.00	122.00	123.00
	.81%	99.19%	100.00%
	5.00%	52.81%	49.00%
	.40%	48.61%	49.00%
Total	20.00	231.00	251.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Family_Handbook</i>		Total
	0	1	
	7.97%	92.03%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	7.97%	92.03%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	16.84	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	20.42	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	14.98	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.77	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	251				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.26			
	Cramer's V	.26			
N of Valid Cases		251			

High_Low * E_Children_s_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Children_s_Records</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	103.00	26.00	129.00
	79.84%	20.16%	100.00%
	91.15%	18.57%	50.99%
	40.71%	10.28%	50.99%
1	10.00	114.00	124.00
	8.06%	91.94%	100.00%
	8.85%	81.43%	49.01%
	3.95%	45.06%	49.01%
Total	113.00	140.00	253.00
	44.66%	55.34%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Children_s_Records</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	44.66%	55.34%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	131.81	1	.000	.000	.000
Likelihood Ratio	148.66	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	128.92	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	131.29	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.72			
	Cramer's V	.72			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * F_Personnel_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Personnel_Records</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	54.00	76.00	130.00
	41.54%	58.46%	100.00%
	100.00%	38.38%	51.59%
	21.43%	30.16%	51.59%
1	.00	122.00	122.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	61.62%	48.41%
	.00%	48.41%	48.41%
Total	54.00	198.00	252.00
	21.43%	78.57%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F Personnel Records</i>		Total
	0	1	
	21.43%	78.57%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	64.50	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	85.39	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	62.05	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	64.24	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	252				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.51			
	Cramer's V	.51			
N of Valid Cases		252			

High_Low * G Personnel Handbook [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>G Personnel Handbook</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	4.00	126.00	130.00
	3.08%	96.92%	100.00%
	100.00%	51.22%	52.00%
	1.60%	50.40%	52.00%
1	.00	120.00	120.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	48.78%	48.00%
	.00%	48.00%	48.00%
Total	4.00	246.00	250.00
	1.60%	98.40%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.60%	98.40%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	3.75	1	.053		
Likelihood Ratio	5.29	1	.021		
Fisher's Exact Test				.134	.071
Continuity Correction	2.05	1	.152		
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.74	1	.053		
N of Valid Cases	250				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.12			
	Cramer's V	.12			
N of Valid Cases		250			

High_Low * A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	17.00	112.00	129.00
	13.18%	86.82%	100.00%
	100.00%	47.86%	51.39%
	6.77%	44.62%	51.39%
<i>1</i>	.00	122.00	122.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	52.14%	48.61%
	.00%	48.61%	48.61%
<i>Total</i>	17.00	234.00	251.00
	6.77%	93.23%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	6.77%	93.23%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	17.25	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.80	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	15.22	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.18	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	251				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.26			
	Cramer's V	.26			
N of Valid Cases		251			

High_Low * B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	38.00	89.00	127.00
	29.92%	70.08%	100.00%
	97.44%	43.41%	52.05%
	15.57%	36.48%	52.05%
<i>1</i>	1.00	116.00	117.00
	.85%	99.15%	100.00%
	2.56%	56.59%	47.95%
	.41%	47.54%	47.95%
<i>Total</i>	39.00	205.00	244.00
	15.98%	84.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	15.98%	84.02%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	38.31	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	47.92	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	36.18	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	38.16	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	244				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.40			
	Cramer's V	.40			
N of Valid Cases		244			

High_Low * C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	13.00	98.00	111.00
	11.71%	88.29%	100.00%
	100.00%	62.82%	65.68%
	7.69%	57.99%	65.68%
<i>1</i>	.00	58.00	58.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	37.18%	34.32%
	.00%	34.32%	34.32%
<i>Total</i>	13.00	156.00	169.00
	7.69%	92.31%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	7.69%	92.31%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	7.36	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	11.49	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.005	.003
Continuity Correction	5.80	1	.016		
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.32	1	.007		
N of Valid Cases	169				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.21			
	Cramer's V	.21			
N of Valid Cases		169			

High_Low * A_Guidance [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Guidance</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	25.00	102.00	127.00
	19.69%	80.31%	100.00%
	100.00%	45.33%	50.80%
	10.00%	40.80%	50.80%
1	.00	123.00	123.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	54.67%	49.20%
	.00%	49.20%	49.20%
Total	25.00	225.00	250.00
	10.00%	90.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	10.00%	90.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	26.90	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Likelihood Ratio Fisher's Exact Test	36.56	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction	24.76	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	26.80	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	250				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.33			
	Cramer's V	.33			
N of Valid Cases		250			

High_Low * A1_Guidance [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A1_Guidance</i>	
	1	Total
0	68.00 100.00% 41.98% 41.98%	68.00 100.00% 41.98% 41.98%
1	94.00 100.00% 58.02% 58.02%	94.00 100.00% 58.02% 58.02%
Total	162.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	162.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	162		

High_Low * B_Naps_or_Rest_Period [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Naps_or_Rest_Period</i>	
	1	Total
0	13.00 100.00% 46.43% 46.43%	13.00 100.00% 46.43% 46.43%
1	15.00 100.00% 53.57% 53.57%	15.00 100.00% 53.57% 53.57%
Total	28.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	28.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	28		

High_Low * C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers</i>	
	0	1
0	32.00 24.62% 100.00% 12.65%	98.00 75.38% 44.34% 38.74%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	123.00 100.00% 55.66% 48.62%
Total	32.00 12.65% 100.00% 12.65%	221.00 87.35% 100.00% 87.35%

<i>High_Low</i>	Total
0	130.00 100.00% 51.38% 51.38%
1	123.00 100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	Total
	48.62%
	48.62%
Total	253.00
	100.00%
	100.00%
	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	34.66	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	47.00	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	32.47	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.52	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.37			
	Cramer's V	.37			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * D_Diapering_and_Toileting [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Diapering_and_Toileting</i>		<i>Total</i>
	0	1	
0	10.00	120.00	130.00
	7.69%	92.31%	100.00%
	100.00%	49.79%	51.79%
	3.98%	47.81%	51.79%
1	.00	121.00	121.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	50.21%	48.21%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Diapering_and_Toileting</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	48.21%	48.21%
Total	10.00	241.00	251.00
	3.98%	96.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	3.98%	96.02%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	9.69	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	13.54	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Continuity Correction	7.79	1	.005		
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.66	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	251				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.20			
	Cramer's V	.20			
N of Valid Cases		251			

High_Low * E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs
[count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs</i>	
	0	1
0	41.00	89.00
	31.54%	68.46%
	97.62%	42.18%
	16.21%	35.18%
1	1.00	122.00
	.81%	99.19%
	2.38%	57.82%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs</i>	
	0	1
	.40%	48.22%
Total	42.00	211.00
	16.60%	83.40%
	100.00%	100.00%
	16.60%	83.40%

<i>High_Low</i>	Total
0	130.00 100.00% 51.38% 51.38%
1	123.00 100.00% 48.62% 48.62%
Total	253.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	43.10	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	53.76	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	40.91	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	42.93	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.41			
	Cramer's V	.41			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	68.00	60.00	128.00
	53.13%	46.88%	100.00%
	93.15%	33.90%	51.20%
	27.20%	24.00%	51.20%
<i>1</i>	5.00	117.00	122.00
	4.10%	95.90%	100.00%
	6.85%	66.10%	48.80%
	2.00%	46.80%	48.80%
<i>Total</i>	73.00	177.00	250.00
	29.20%	70.80%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	29.20%	70.80%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	72.62	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	83.28	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	70.27	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	72.33	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	250				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.54			
	Cramer's V	.54			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases		250			

High_Low * G_Physical_Environment [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>G_Physical_Environment</i>	
	1	Total
0	14.00 100.00% 27.45% 27.45%	14.00 100.00% 27.45% 27.45%
1	37.00 100.00% 72.55% 72.55%	37.00 100.00% 72.55% 72.55%
Total	51.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	51.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	51		

High_Low * H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment</i>	
	1	Total
0	36.00 100.00% 59.02% 59.02%	36.00 100.00% 59.02% 59.02%
1	25.00 100.00% 40.98% 40.98%	25.00 100.00% 40.98% 40.98%
Total	61.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	61.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	61		

High_Low * I_Equipment_and_Program [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>I_Equipment_and_Program</i>	
	1	Total
0	115.00	115.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
1	115.00	115.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
Total	230.00	230.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	230		

High_Low * J_Outdoor_Play_Areas [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>J_Outdoor_Play_Areas</i>	
	1	Total
0	124.00	124.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	52.10%	52.10%
	52.10%	52.10%
1	114.00	114.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	47.90%	47.90%
	47.90%	47.90%
Total	238.00	238.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	238		

High_Low * K_Swimming [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>K_Swimming</i>	
	1	Total
0	128.00	128.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	52.67%	52.67%
	52.67%	52.67%
1	115.00	115.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	47.33%	47.33%
	47.33%	47.33%
Total	243.00	243.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	243		

High_Low * Wading_and_Water [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Wading_and_Water</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	3.00	120.00	123.00
	2.44%	97.56%	100.00%
	100.00%	50.85%	51.46%
	1.26%	50.21%	51.46%
1	.00	116.00	116.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	49.15%	48.54%
	.00%	48.54%	48.54%
Total	3.00	236.00	239.00
	1.26%	98.74%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.26%	98.74%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	2.87	1	.091		
Likelihood Ratio	4.02	1	.045		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Fisher's Exact Test				.279	.135
Continuity Correction	1.24	1	.266		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.85	1	.091		
N of Valid Cases	239				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.11			
	Cramer's V	.11			
N of Valid Cases		239			

High_Low * L_Field Trips [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>L_Field_Trips</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	63.00	54.00	117.00
	53.85%	46.15%	100.00%
	96.92%	33.13%	51.32%
	27.63%	23.68%	51.32%
1	2.00	109.00	111.00
	1.80%	98.20%	100.00%
	3.08%	66.87%	48.68%
	.88%	47.81%	48.68%
Total	65.00	163.00	228.00
	28.51%	71.49%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	28.51%	71.49%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	75.70	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	91.02	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Continuity Correction	73.17	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	75.37	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	228				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.58			
	Cramer's V	.58			
N of Valid Cases		228			

High_Low * A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	1.00 .86%	115.00 99.14%	116.00 100.00%
	100.00%	52.27%	52.49%
	.45%	52.04%	52.49%
<i>1</i>	.00 .00%	105.00 100.00%	105.00 100.00%
	.00%	47.73%	47.51%
	.00%	47.51%	47.51%
<i>Total</i>	1.00 .45%	220.00 99.55%	221.00 100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.45%	99.55%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	.91	1	.340		
Likelihood Ratio	1.29	1	.255		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.288	.525

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Continuity Correction	.00	1	1.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.91	1	.341		
N of Valid Cases	221				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.06			
	Cramer's V	.06			
N of Valid Cases		221			

High_Low * B_Meals_and_Snacks [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	5.00	125.00	130.00
	3.85%	96.15%	100.00%
	100.00%	50.40%	51.38%
	1.98%	49.41%	51.38%
1	.00	123.00	123.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	49.60%	48.62%
	.00%	48.62%	48.62%
Total	5.00	248.00	253.00
	1.98%	98.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.98%	98.02%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	4.83	1	.028		
Likelihood Ratio	6.75	1	.009		
Fisher's Exact Test				.065	.034
Continuity Correction	3.04	1	.081		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.81	1	.028		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.14			
	Cramer's V	.14			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * B3_Meals_and_Snacks [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B3_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	24.00	105.00	129.00
	18.60%	81.40%	100.00%
	92.31%	46.26%	50.99%
	9.49%	41.50%	50.99%
1	2.00	122.00	124.00
	1.61%	98.39%	100.00%
	7.69%	53.74%	49.01%
	.79%	48.22%	49.01%
Total	26.00	227.00	253.00
	10.28%	89.72%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	10.28%	89.72%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	19.80	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.12	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	18.00	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.72	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.28			
	Cramer's V	.28			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * C_Menus [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Menus</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	23.00	81.00	104.00
	22.12%	77.88%	100.00%
	100.00%	43.55%	49.76%
	11.00%	38.76%	49.76%
1	.00	105.00	105.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	56.45%	50.24%
	.00%	50.24%	50.24%
Total	23.00	186.00	209.00
	11.00%	89.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	11.00%	89.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	26.09	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	34.99	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	23.88	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	25.97	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	209				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.35			
	Cramer's V	.35			
N of Valid Cases		209			

High_Low * D_Kitchens [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Kitchens</i>		<i>Total</i>
	0	1	
0	8.00	99.00	107.00
	7.48%	92.52%	100.00%
	100.00%	49.50%	51.44%
	3.85%	47.60%	51.44%
1	.00	101.00	101.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	50.50%	48.56%
	.00%	48.56%	48.56%
Total	8.00	200.00	208.00
	3.85%	96.15%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	3.85%	96.15%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	7.85	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	10.94	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.004
Continuity Correction	5.96	1	.015		
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.82	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	208				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.19			
	Cramer's V	.19			
N of Valid Cases		208			

High_Low * E_Meal_Times [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Meal_Times</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	50.00	30.00	80.00
	62.50%	37.50%	100.00%
	96.15%	43.48%	66.12%
	41.32%	24.79%	66.12%
1	2.00	39.00	41.00
	4.88%	95.12%	100.00%
	3.85%	56.52%	33.88%
	1.65%	32.23%	33.88%
Total	52.00	69.00	121.00
	42.98%	57.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	42.98%	57.02%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	36.73	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	43.51	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	34.41	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	36.42	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	121				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.55			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases	Cramer's V	.55 121			

High_Low * A_Hygiene [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Hygiene</i>		<i>Total</i>
	0	1	
0	109.00	21.00	130.00
	83.85%	16.15%	100.00%
	80.74%	17.80%	51.38%
	43.08%	8.30%	51.38%
1	26.00	97.00	123.00
	21.14%	78.86%	100.00%
	19.26%	82.20%	48.62%
	10.28%	38.34%	48.62%
Total	135.00	118.00	253.00
	53.36%	46.64%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	53.36%	46.64%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	99.86	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	107.73	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	97.36	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	99.47	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.63			
	Cramer's V	.63			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * B_First Aid Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_First Aid Requirements</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	72.00 100.00%	42.11% 42.11%	72.00 100.00%
1	99.00 100.00%	57.89% 57.89%	99.00 100.00%
Total	171.00 100.00%	100.00% 100.00%	171.00 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	171		

High_Low * C_Medication [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Medication</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	17.00 13.08%	113.00 86.92%	130.00 100.00%
1	2.00 1.64%	120.00 98.36%	122.00 100.00%
Total	19.00 7.54%	233.00 92.46%	252.00 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	11.81	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	13.51	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.000
Continuity Correction	10.23	1	.001		
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.76	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	252				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.22			
	Cramer's V	.22			
N of Valid Cases		252			

High_Low * A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers</i>	
	1	Total
0	125.00 100.00% 50.40% 50.40%	125.00 100.00% 50.40% 50.40%
1	123.00 100.00% 49.60% 49.60%	123.00 100.00% 49.60% 49.60%
Total	248.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	248.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	248		

High_Low * A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

High_Low	A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers		Total
	0	1	
0	64.00	66.00	130.00
	49.23%	50.77%	100.00%
	94.12%	35.68%	51.38%
	25.30%	26.09%	51.38%
1	4.00	119.00	123.00
	3.25%	96.75%	100.00%
	5.88%	64.32%	48.62%
	1.58%	47.04%	48.62%
Total	68.00	185.00	253.00
	26.88%	73.12%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	26.88%	73.12%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

Statistic	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	Exact Sig. (2-tailed)	Exact Sig. (1-tailed)
Pearson Chi-Square	67.98	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	79.05	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	65.66	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	67.71	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

Category	Statistic	Value	Asymp. Std. Error	Approx. T	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.52			
	Cramer's V	.52			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * A_Housekeeping [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Housekeeping</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	18.00 13.85% 100.00% 7.11%	112.00 86.15% 47.66% 44.27%	130.00 100.00% 51.38% 51.38%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	123.00 100.00% 52.34% 48.62%	123.00 100.00% 48.62% 48.62%
Total	18.00 7.11% 100.00% 7.11%	235.00 92.89% 100.00% 92.89%	253.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	18.34	1	.000	.000	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.27	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	16.30	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.26	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.27			
	Cramer's V	.27			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * B_Pest_Control [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Pest_Control</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	24.00	106.00	130.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Pest_Control</i>		Total
	0	1	
	18.46%	81.54%	100.00%
	96.00%	46.49%	51.38%
	9.49%	41.90%	51.38%
1	1.00	122.00	123.00
	.81%	99.19%	100.00%
	4.00%	53.51%	48.62%
	.40%	48.22%	48.62%
Total	25.00	228.00	253.00
	9.88%	90.12%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	9.88%	90.12%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	22.11	1	.000	.000	.000
Likelihood Ratio	27.19	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	20.17	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.02	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	253				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.30			
	Cramer's V	.30			
N of Valid Cases		253			

High_Low * C_Mechanical_Systems [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Mechanical_Systems</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	69.00	61.00	130.00
	53.08%	46.92%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Mechanical_Systems</i>		Total
	0	1	
	88.46%	35.06%	51.59%
	27.38%	24.21%	51.59%
1	9.00	113.00	122.00
	7.38%	92.62%	100.00%
	11.54%	64.94%	48.41%
	3.57%	44.84%	48.41%
Total	78.00	174.00	252.00
	30.95%	69.05%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	30.95%	69.05%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	61.50	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	67.87	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	59.38	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	61.26	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	252				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.49			
	Cramer's V	.49			
N of Valid Cases		252			

High_Low * D_Water_and_Waste [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Water_and_Waste</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	43.00	87.00	130.00
	33.08%	66.92%	100.00%
	95.56%	42.03%	51.59%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Water_and_Waste</i>		Total
	0	1	
	17.06%	34.52%	51.59%
1	2.00	120.00	122.00
	1.64%	98.36%	100.00%
	4.44%	57.97%	48.41%
	.79%	47.62%	48.41%
Total	45.00	207.00	252.00
	17.86%	82.14%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	17.86%	82.14%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	42.41	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	51.05	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	40.29	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	42.24	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	252				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.41			
	Cramer's V	.41			
N of Valid Cases		252			

High_Low * E_Lighting [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Lighting</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	1.00	113.00	114.00
	.88%	99.12%	100.00%
	100.00%	52.31%	52.53%
	.46%	52.07%	52.53%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Lighting</i>		Total
	0	1	
1	.00	103.00	103.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	47.69%	47.47%
	.00%	47.47%	47.47%
Total	1.00	216.00	217.00
	.46%	99.54%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.46%	99.54%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	.91	1	.341	1.287	.525
Likelihood Ratio	1.29	1	.256		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.00	1	1.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.90	1	.342		
N of Valid Cases	217				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.06			
	Cramer's V	.06			
N of Valid Cases		217			

High_Low * Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	2.00	20.00	22.00
	9.09%	90.91%	100.00%
	100.00%	58.82%	61.11%
	5.56%	55.56%	61.11%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical</i>		Total
	0	1	
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	14.00 100.00% 41.18% 38.89%	14.00 100.00% 38.89% 38.89%
Total	2.00 5.56% 100.00% 5.56%	34.00 94.44% 100.00% 94.44%	36.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	1.35	1	.246	.539	.367
Likelihood Ratio	2.04	1	.153		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.17	1	.678		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.31	1	.252		
N of Valid Cases	36				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.19			
	Cramer's V	.19			
N of Valid Cases		36			

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * F_Exits_and_Windows contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * H_Safety_Compliance contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low *
H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * I_Smoking
contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * Firearms contained
no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low *
Alcoholic_Beverages contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low *
Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * J_Pets contained no
non-missing cases.

GET

GET FILE="/home/MyDropbox/ACTIVE/KIM/NM ECECD CCC KIM4.sav".

CROSSTABS

CROSSTABS

```

/TABLES= High_Low BY A_Types_of_Licenses
B_Renewal_of_License D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License A K
M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals E
F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities D_Complaints
A_Licensing_Requirements B_Capacity_of_Centers
B_3_c_Capacity_of_Centers C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements
A_Administrative_Records B_Mission
Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement C_Policy_and_Procedures
D_Family_Handbook E_Children_s_Records F_Personnel_Records
G_Personnel_Handbook A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements
B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes
A_Guidance A1_Guidance B_Naps_or_Rest_Period
C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers
D_Diapering_and_Toileting
E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs
F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care G_Physical_Environment
H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment I_Equipment_and_Program
J_Outdoor_Play_Areas K_Swimming Wading_and_Water L_Field_Trips
A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements B_Meals_and_Snacks B3_Meals_and_Snacks
C_Menus D_Kitchens E_Meal_Times A_Hygiene B_First_Aid_Requirements
C_Medication A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers
A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers A_Housekeeping
B_Pest_Control C_Mechanical_Systems D_Water_and_Waste E_Lighting
Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical F_Exits_and_Windows
G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities H_Safety_Compliance
H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance I_Smoking Firearms Alcoholic_Beverages
Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances J_Pets
/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES PIVOT
/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI
/CELLS=COUNT ROW COLUMN TOTAL.

```

Summary.

	Cases
	Valid
	N
High_Low * A_Types_of_Licenses	44

	Cases
	Valid
	N
High_Low * B_Renewal_of_License	44
High_Low * D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License	41
High_Low * A	38
High_Low * K	57
High_Low * M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals	40
High_Low * E	72
High_Low * F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities	91
High_Low * D_Complaints	95
High_Low * A_Licensing_Requirements	40
High_Low * B_Capacity_of_Centers	95
High_Low * B_3_c_Capacity_of_Centers	85
High_Low * C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements	86
High_Low * A_Administrative_Records	53
High_Low * B_Mission	94
High_Low * Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement	94
High_Low * C_Policy_and_Procedures	50
High_Low * D_Family_Handbook	93
High_Low * E_Children_s_Records	94
High_Low * F_Personnel_Records	95
High_Low * G_Personnel_Handbook	94
High_Low * A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements	93
High_Low * B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training	94
High_Low * C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes	70
High_Low * A_Guidance	95
High_Low * A1_Guidance	52
High_Low * B_Naps_or_Rest_Period	7
High_Low * C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers	95
High_Low * D_Diapering_and_Toileting	94
High_Low * E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs	95
High_Low * F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care	94
High_Low * G_Physical_Environment	14
High_Low * H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment	19
High_Low * I_Equipment_and_Program	85
High_Low * J_Outdoor_Play_Areas	89
High_Low * K_Swimming	92
High_Low * Wading_and_Water	89

	Cases
	Valid N
High_Low * L_Field_Trips	87
High_Low * A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements	87
High_Low * B_Meals_and_Snacks	95
High_Low * B3_Meals_and_Snacks	95
High_Low * C_Menus	76
High_Low * D_Kitchens	74
High_Low * E_Meal_Times	46
High_Low * A_Hygiene	95
High_Low * B_First_Aid_Requirements	53
High_Low * C_Medication	94
High_Low * A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers	93
High_Low * A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers	95
High_Low * A_Housekeeping	95
High_Low * B_Pest_Control	95
High_Low * C_Mechanical_Systems	94
High_Low * D_Water_and_Waste	94
High_Low * E_Lighting	82
High_Low * Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical	12
High_Low * F_Exits_and_Windows	0
High_Low * G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities	0
High_Low * H_Safety_Compliance	0
High_Low * H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance	0
High_Low * I_Smoking	0
High_Low * Firearms	0
High_Low * Alcoholic_Beverages	0
High_Low * Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances	0
High_Low * J_Pets	0

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
46.3%	51	53.7%	95	100.0%
46.3%	51	53.7%	95	100.0%
43.2%	54	56.8%	95	100.0%
40.0%	57	60.0%	95	100.0%
60.0%	38	40.0%	95	100.0%
42.1%	55	57.9%	95	100.0%
75.8%	23	24.2%	95	100.0%

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
95.8%	4	4.2%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
42.1%	55	57.9%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
89.5%	10	10.5%	95	100.0%
90.5%	9	9.5%	95	100.0%
55.8%	42	44.2%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
52.6%	45	47.4%	95	100.0%
97.9%	2	2.1%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
97.9%	2	2.1%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
73.7%	25	26.3%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
54.7%	43	45.3%	95	100.0%
7.4%	88	92.6%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
14.7%	81	85.3%	95	100.0%
20.0%	76	80.0%	95	100.0%
89.5%	10	10.5%	95	100.0%
93.7%	6	6.3%	95	100.0%
96.8%	3	3.2%	95	100.0%
93.7%	6	6.3%	95	100.0%
91.6%	8	8.4%	95	100.0%
91.6%	8	8.4%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
80.0%	19	20.0%	95	100.0%
77.9%	21	22.1%	95	100.0%
48.4%	49	51.6%	95	100.0%

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
55.8%	42	44.2%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
97.9%	2	2.1%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
98.9%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
86.3%	13	13.7%	95	100.0%
12.6%	83	87.4%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%
0.0%	95	100.0%	95	100.0%

High_Low * A_Types_of_Licenses [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Types_of_Licenses</i>	
	1	Total
0	22.00	22.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
1	22.00	22.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
Total	44.00	44.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	44		

High_Low * B_Renewal_of_License [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Renewal_of_License</i>	
	1	Total
0	23.00	23.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	52.27%	52.27%
	52.27%	52.27%
1	21.00	21.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	47.73%	47.73%
	47.73%	47.73%
Total	44.00	44.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	44		

High_Low * D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License</i>	
	1	Total
0	21.00	21.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	51.22%	51.22%
	51.22%	51.22%
1	20.00	20.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	48.78%	48.78%
	48.78%	48.78%
Total	41.00	41.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	41		

High_Low * A [count, row %, column %, total %].

High_Low	A	
	1	Total
0	21.00	21.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	55.26%	55.26%
	55.26%	55.26%
1	17.00	17.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	44.74%	44.74%
	44.74%	44.74%
Total	38.00	38.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

Statistic	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
N of Valid Cases	38		

High_Low * K [count, row %, column %, total %].

High_Low	K	
	1	Total
0	35.00	35.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	61.40%	61.40%
	61.40%	61.40%
1	22.00	22.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	38.60%	38.60%
	38.60%	38.60%
Total	57.00	57.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

Statistic	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
N of Valid Cases	57		

High_Low * M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals</i>		Total
		1	
0	21.00	19.00	40.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	52.50%	47.50%	100.00%
	52.50%	47.50%	100.00%
1	19.00	28.00	47.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	47.50%	38.89%	100.00%
	47.50%	38.89%	100.00%
Total	40.00	72.00	112.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	40		

High_Low * E [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E</i>	
	1	Total
0	44.00	44.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	61.11%	61.11%
	61.11%	61.11%
1	28.00	28.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	38.89%	38.89%
	38.89%	38.89%
Total	72.00	72.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	72		

High_Low * F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	7.00 12.50% 100.00% 7.69%	49.00 87.50% 58.33% 53.85%	56.00 100.00% 61.54% 61.54%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 41.67% 38.46%	35.00 100.00% 38.46% 38.46%
Total	7.00 7.69% 100.00% 7.69%	84.00 92.31% 100.00% 92.31%	91.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	4.74	1	.029		
Likelihood Ratio	7.16	1	.007		
Fisher's Exact Test				.041	.029
Continuity Correction	3.14	1	.076		
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.69	1	.030		
N of Valid Cases	91				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.23			
	Cramer's V	.23			
N of Valid Cases		91			

High_Low * D_Complaints [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Complaints</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	21.00	38.00	59.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Complaints</i>		Total
	0	1	
	35.59%	64.41%	100.00%
	100.00%	51.35%	62.11%
	22.11%	40.00%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	48.65%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	21.00	74.00	95.00
	22.11%	77.89%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	22.11%	77.89%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	16.45	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.54	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	14.45	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.28	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.42			
	Cramer's V	.42			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * A_Licensing_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Licensing_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	20.00	20.00	20.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Licensing_Requirements</i>	
	1	Total
	50.00%	50.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
1	20.00	20.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
	50.00%	50.00%
Total	40.00	40.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	40		

High_Low * B Capacity_of_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Capacity_of_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	28.00	31.00	59.00
	47.46%	52.54%	100.00%
	100.00%	46.27%	62.11%
	29.47%	32.63%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	53.73%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	28.00	67.00	95.00
	29.47%	70.53%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	29.47%	70.53%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	24.22	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	33.57	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	22.00	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.97	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.50			
	Cramer's V	.50			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * B_3_c Capacity_of_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_3_c Capacity_of_Centers</i>		
	0	1	Total
0	2.00	48.00	50.00
	4.00%	96.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	57.83%	58.82%
	2.35%	56.47%	58.82%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	42.17%	41.18%
	.00%	41.18%	41.18%
Total	2.00	83.00	85.00
	2.35%	97.65%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	2.35%	97.65%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi- Square	1.43	1	.231		
Likelihood Ratio	2.16	1	.142		
Fisher's Exact Test				.552	.343
Continuity Correction	.22	1	.638		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.42	1	.234		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	85				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.13			
	Cramer's V	.13			
N of Valid Cases		85			

High_Low * C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	3.00	48.00	51.00
	5.88%	94.12%	100.00%
	100.00%	57.83%	59.30%
	3.49%	55.81%	59.30%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	42.17%	40.70%
	.00%	40.70%	40.70%
Total	3.00	83.00	86.00
	3.49%	96.51%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	3.49%	96.51%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	2.13	1	.144		
Likelihood Ratio	3.21	1	.073		
Fisher's Exact Test				.279	.203
Continuity Correction	.74	1	.388		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.11	1	.146		
N of Valid Cases	86				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.16			
	Cramer's V	.16			
N of Valid Cases		86			

High_Low * A_Administrative_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Administrative_Records</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	29.00	24.00	29.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	54.72%	45.28%	54.72%
	54.72%	45.28%	54.72%
1	24.00	29.00	24.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	45.28%	54.72%	45.28%
	45.28%	54.72%	45.28%
Total	53.00	53.00	53.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	53		

High_Low * B_Mission [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Mission</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	54.00	5.00	59.00
	91.53%	8.47%	100.00%
	100.00%	12.50%	62.77%
	57.45%	5.32%	62.77%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	87.50%	37.23%
	.00%	37.23%	37.23%
Total	54.00	40.00	94.00
	57.45%	42.55%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Mission</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	57.45%	42.55%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	75.28	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	93.97	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	71.58	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	74.48	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.89			
	Cramer's V	.89			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	54.00	4.00	58.00
	93.10%	6.90%	100.00%
	100.00%	10.00%	61.70%
	57.45%	4.26%	61.70%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	90.00%	38.30%
	.00%	38.30%	38.30%
Total	54.00	40.00	94.00
	57.45%	42.55%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	57.45%	42.55%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	78.77	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	99.11	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	75.00	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	77.93	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.92			
	Cramer's V	.92			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * C_Policy_and_Procedures [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Policy_and_Procedures</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	28.00	28.00	28.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	56.00%	56.00%	56.00%
	56.00%	56.00%	56.00%
1	22.00	22.00	22.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	44.00%	44.00%	44.00%
	44.00%	44.00%	44.00%
Total	50.00	50.00	50.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Policy_and_Procedures</i>	Total
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	50		

High_Low * D_Family_Handbook [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Family_Handbook</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	13.00	44.00	57.00
	22.81%	77.19%	100.00%
	100.00%	55.00%	61.29%
	13.98%	47.31%	61.29%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	45.00%	38.71%
	.00%	38.71%	38.71%
Total	13.00	80.00	93.00
	13.98%	86.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	13.98%	86.02%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	9.54	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	14.04	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Continuity Correction	7.74	1	.005		
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.44	1	.002		
N of Valid Cases	93				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.32			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases	Cramer's V	.32			
		93			

High_Low * E_Children_s_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Children_s_Records</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	51.00	7.00	58.00
	87.93%	12.07%	100.00%
	100.00%	16.28%	61.70%
	54.26%	7.45%	61.70%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	83.72%	38.30%
	.00%	38.30%	38.30%
Total	51.00	43.00	94.00
	54.26%	45.74%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	54.26%	45.74%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	69.20	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	86.91	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	65.70	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	68.46	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.86			
	Cramer's V	.86			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * F_Personnel_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Personnel_Records</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	36.00	23.00	59.00
	61.02%	38.98%	100.00%
	100.00%	38.98%	62.11%
	37.89%	24.21%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	61.02%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	36.00	59.00	95.00
	37.89%	62.11%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	37.89%	62.11%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	35.37	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	47.17	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	32.82	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	35.00	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.61			
	Cramer's V	.61			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * G_Personnel_Handbook [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>G_Personnel_Handbook</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	4.00 6.78% 100.00% 4.26%	55.00 93.22% 61.11% 58.51%	59.00 100.00% 62.77% 62.77%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 38.89% 37.23%	35.00 100.00% 37.23% 37.23%
Total	4.00 4.26% 100.00% 4.26%	90.00 95.74% 100.00% 95.74%	94.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	2.48	1	.115	.295	.149
Likelihood Ratio	3.83	1	.050		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	1.09	1	.296		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.45	1	.117		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.16			
	Cramer's V	.16			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	12.00 20.34% 100.00% 12.90%	47.00 79.66% 58.02% 50.54%	59.00 100.00% 63.44% 63.44%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	34.00 100.00% 41.98% 36.56%	34.00 100.00% 36.56% 36.56%
Total	12.00 12.90% 100.00% 12.90%	81.00 87.10% 100.00% 87.10%	93.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	7.94	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	11.93	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.003
Continuity Correction	6.23	1	.013		
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.85	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	93				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.29			
	Cramer's V	.29			
N of Valid Cases		93			

High_Low * B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	25.00 42.37% 100.00% 26.60%	34.00 57.63% 49.28% 36.17%	59.00 100.00% 62.77% 62.77%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 50.72% 37.23%	35.00 100.00% 37.23% 37.23%
Total	25.00 26.60% 100.00% 26.60%	69.00 73.40% 100.00% 73.40%	94.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	20.20	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	28.48	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	18.09	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.99	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.46			
	Cramer's V	.46			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	7.00 12.50% 100.00% 10.00%	49.00 87.50% 77.78% 70.00%	56.00 100.00% 80.00% 80.00%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	14.00 100.00% 22.22% 20.00%	14.00 100.00% 20.00% 20.00%
Total	7.00 10.00% 100.00% 10.00%	63.00 90.00% 100.00% 90.00%	70.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	1.94	1	.163		
Likelihood Ratio	3.31	1	.069		
Fisher's Exact Test				.331	.193
Continuity Correction	.80	1	.370		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.92	1	.166		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.17			
	Cramer's V	.17			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * A_Guidance [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Guidance</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	13.00	46.00	59.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Guidance</i>		Total
	0	1	
	22.03%	77.97%	100.00%
	100.00%	56.10%	62.11%
	13.68%	48.42%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	43.90%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	13.00	82.00	95.00
	13.68%	86.32%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	13.68%	86.32%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	9.19	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	13.62	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Continuity Correction	7.42	1	.006		
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.09	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.31			
	Cramer's V	.31			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * A1_Guidance [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A1_Guidance</i>	
	1	Total
0	24.00	24.00
	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A1_Guidance</i>	
	1	Total
	46.15%	46.15%
	46.15%	46.15%
1	28.00	28.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	53.85%	53.85%
	53.85%	53.85%
Total	52.00	52.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	52		

High_Low * B Naps_or_Rest_Period [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Naps_or_Rest_Period</i>	
	1	Total
0	5.00	5.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	71.43%	71.43%
	71.43%	71.43%
1	2.00	2.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	28.57%	28.57%
	28.57%	28.57%
Total	7.00	7.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	7		

High_Low * C Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers</i>	
	0	1
0	22.00	37.00
	37.29%	62.71%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers</i>	
	0	1
	100.00%	50.68%
	23.16%	38.95%
1	.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%
	.00%	49.32%
	.00%	37.89%
Total	22.00	73.00
	23.16%	76.84%
	100.00%	100.00%
	23.16%	76.84%

<i>High_Low</i>	Total
0	59.00
	100.00%
	62.11%
	62.11%
1	36.00
	100.00%
	37.89%
	37.89%
Total	95.00
	100.00%
	100.00%
	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	17.47	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	24.89	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	15.44	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.29	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.43			
	Cramer's V	.43			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * D_Diapering_and_Toileting [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Diapering_and_Toileting</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	8.00	51.00	59.00
	13.56%	86.44%	100.00%
	100.00%	59.30%	62.77%
	8.51%	54.26%	62.77%
<i>1</i>	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	40.70%	37.23%
	.00%	37.23%	37.23%
<i>Total</i>	8.00	86.00	94.00
	8.51%	91.49%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	8.51%	91.49%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	5.19	1	.023		
Likelihood Ratio	7.89	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.024	.020
Continuity Correction	3.59	1	.058		
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.13	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.23			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases	Cramer's V	.23			
		94			

High_Low * E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs
[count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs</i>	
	0	1
0	31.00 52.54% 100.00% 32.63%	28.00 47.46% 43.75% 29.47%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	36.00 100.00% 56.25% 37.89%
Total	31.00 32.63% 100.00% 32.63%	64.00 67.37% 100.00% 67.37%

<i>High_Low</i>	Total
0	59.00 100.00% 62.11% 62.11%
1	36.00 100.00% 37.89% 37.89%
Total	95.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	28.08	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Likelihood Ratio Fisher's Exact Test	38.35	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction	25.74	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	27.78	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.54			
	Cramer's V	.54			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	35.00	24.00	59.00
	59.32%	40.68%	100.00%
	100.00%	40.68%	62.77%
	37.23%	25.53%	62.77%
<i>1</i>	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	59.32%	37.23%
	.00%	37.23%	37.23%
<i>Total</i>	35.00	59.00	94.00
	37.23%	62.77%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	37.23%	62.77%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	33.08	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	44.39	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	30.59	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	32.73	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.59			
	Cramer's V	.59			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * G_Physical_Environment [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>G_Physical_Environment</i>	
	1	Total
0	5.00 100.00% 35.71% 35.71%	5.00 100.00% 35.71% 35.71%
1	9.00 100.00% 64.29% 64.29%	9.00 100.00% 64.29% 64.29%
Total	14.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	14.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	14		

High_Low * H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment</i>	
	1	Total
0	12.00 100.00% 63.16% 63.16%	12.00 100.00% 63.16% 63.16%
1	7.00 100.00% 36.84% 36.84%	7.00 100.00% 36.84% 36.84%
Total	19.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	19.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	19		

High_Low * I_Equipment_and_Program [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>I_Equipment_and_Program</i>	
	1	Total
0	54.00 100.00% 63.53% 63.53%	54.00 100.00% 63.53% 63.53%
1	31.00 100.00% 36.47% 36.47%	31.00 100.00% 36.47% 36.47%
Total	85.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	85.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	85		

High_Low * J_Outdoor_Play_Areas [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>J_Outdoor_Play_Areas</i>	
	1	Total
0	57.00	57.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>J_Outdoor_Play_Areas</i>	
	1	Total
	100.00%	100.00%
	64.04%	64.04%
	64.04%	64.04%
1	32.00	32.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	35.96%	35.96%
	35.96%	35.96%
Total	89.00	89.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	89		

High_Low * K_Swimming [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>K_Swimming</i>	
	1	Total
0	58.00	58.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	63.04%	63.04%
	63.04%	63.04%
1	34.00	34.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	36.96%	36.96%
	36.96%	36.96%
Total	92.00	92.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	92		

High_Low * Wading_and_Water [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Wading_and_Water</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	2.00	55.00	57.00
	3.51%	96.49%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Wading_and_Water</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	63.22%	64.04%
	2.25%	61.80%	64.04%
1	.00	32.00	32.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	36.78%	35.96%
	.00%	35.96%	35.96%
Total	2.00	87.00	89.00
	2.25%	97.75%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	2.25%	97.75%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	1.15	1	.284	.559	.408
Likelihood Ratio	1.81	1	.179		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.11	1	.744		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.14	1	.287		
N of Valid Cases	89				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.11			
	Cramer's V	.11			
N of Valid Cases		89			

High_Low * L_Field_Trips [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>L_Field_Trips</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	36.00	21.00	57.00
	63.16%	36.84%	100.00%
	100.00%	41.18%	65.52%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>L_Field_Trips</i>		Total
	0	1	
	41.38%	24.14%	65.52%
1	.00	30.00	30.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	58.82%	34.48%
	.00%	34.48%	34.48%
Total	36.00	51.00	87.00
	41.38%	58.62%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	41.38%	58.62%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	32.32	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	42.98	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	29.77	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	31.95	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	87				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.61			
	Cramer's V	.61			
N of Valid Cases		87			

High_Low * A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	1.00	53.00	54.00
	1.85%	98.15%	100.00%
	100.00%	61.63%	62.07%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
	1.15%	60.92%	62.07%
1	.00	33.00	33.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	38.37%	37.93%
	.00%	37.93%	37.93%
Total	1.00	86.00	87.00
	1.15%	98.85%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.15%	98.85%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	.62	1	.432	1.134	.621
Likelihood Ratio	.96	1	.327		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.00	1	1.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.61	1	.434		
N of Valid Cases	87				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.08			
	Cramer's V	.08			
N of Valid Cases		87			

High_Low * B_Meals_and_Snacks [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	4.00	55.00	59.00
	6.78%	93.22%	100.00%
	100.00%	60.44%	62.11%
	4.21%	57.89%	62.11%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		Total
	0	1	
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	39.56%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	4.00	91.00	95.00
	4.21%	95.79%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	4.21%	95.79%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	2.55	1	.110	.296	.143
Likelihood Ratio	3.92	1	.048		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	1.14	1	.285		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.52	1	.112		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.16			
	Cramer's V	.16			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * B3_Meals_and_Snacks [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B3_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	13.00	46.00	59.00
	22.03%	77.97%	100.00%
	100.00%	56.10%	62.11%
	13.68%	48.42%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B3_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	43.90%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	13.00	82.00	95.00
	13.68%	86.32%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	13.68%	86.32%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	9.19	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	13.62	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Continuity Correction	7.42	1	.006		
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.09	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.31			
	Cramer's V	.31			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * C_Menus [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Menus</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	20.00	26.00	46.00
	43.48%	56.52%	100.00%
	100.00%	46.43%	60.53%
	26.32%	34.21%	60.53%
1	.00	30.00	30.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Menus</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	53.57%	39.47%
	.00%	39.47%	39.47%
Total	20.00	56.00	76.00
	26.32%	73.68%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	26.32%	73.68%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	17.70	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	24.62	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	15.53	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.47	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	76				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.48			
	Cramer's V	.48			
N of Valid Cases		76			

High_Low * D_Kitchens [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Kitchens</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	7.00	39.00	46.00
	15.22%	84.78%	100.00%
	100.00%	58.21%	62.16%
	9.46%	52.70%	62.16%
1	.00	28.00	28.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	41.79%	37.84%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Kitchens</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	37.84%	37.84%
Total	7.00	67.00	74.00
	9.46%	90.54%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	9.46%	90.54%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	4.71	1	.030	.040	.030
Likelihood Ratio	7.10	1	.008		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	3.10	1	.078		
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.64	1	.031		
N of Valid Cases	74				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.25			
	Cramer's V	.25			
N of Valid Cases		74			

High_Low * E_Meal_Times [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Meal_Times</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	26.00	12.00	38.00
	68.42%	31.58%	100.00%
	100.00%	60.00%	82.61%
	56.52%	26.09%	82.61%
1	.00	8.00	8.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	40.00%	17.39%
	.00%	17.39%	17.39%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Meal_Times</i>		Total
	0	1	
Total	26.00	20.00	46.00
	56.52%	43.48%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	56.52%	43.48%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	12.59	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	15.59	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	9.96	1	.002		
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.32	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	46				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.52			
	Cramer's V	.52			
N of Valid Cases		46			

High_Low * A_Hygiene [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Hygiene</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	58.00	1.00	59.00
	98.31%	1.69%	100.00%
	100.00%	2.70%	62.11%
	61.05%	1.05%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	97.30%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	58.00	37.00	95.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Hygiene</i>		Total
	0	1	
	61.05%	38.95%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	61.05%	38.95%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	90.87	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	116.88	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	86.78	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	89.91	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.98			
	Cramer's V	.98			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * B_First_Aid_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_First_Aid_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	23.00	30.00	53.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	43.40%	56.60%	100.00%
	43.40%	56.60%	100.00%
1	30.00	23.00	53.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	56.60%	43.40%	100.00%
	56.60%	43.40%	100.00%
Total	53.00	53.00	106.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_First_Aid_Requirements</i>	
		Total
	1	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	53		

High_Low * C_Medication [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Medication</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	11.00	48.00	59.00
	18.64%	81.36%	100.00%
	100.00%	57.83%	62.77%
	11.70%	51.06%	62.77%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	42.17%	37.23%
	.00%	37.23%	37.23%
Total	11.00	83.00	94.00
	11.70%	88.30%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	11.70%	88.30%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	7.39	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	11.10	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.004
Continuity Correction	5.70	1	.017		
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.31	1	.007		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.28			
	Cramer's V	.28			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers</i>		Total
	1		
0	57.00	100.00%	57.00
	61.29%	61.29%	100.00%
	61.29%	61.29%	61.29%
1	36.00	100.00%	36.00
	38.71%	38.71%	100.00%
	38.71%	38.71%	38.71%
Total	93.00	100.00%	93.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	93		

High_Low * A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	39.00	20.00	59.00
	66.10%	33.90%	100.00%
	100.00%	35.71%	62.11%
	41.05%	21.05%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	64.29%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	39.00	56.00	95.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
	41.05%	58.95%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	41.05%	58.95%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	40.37	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	53.08	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	37.68	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	39.94	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.65			
	Cramer's V	.65			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * A_Housekeeping [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Housekeeping</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	12.00	47.00	59.00
	20.34%	79.66%	100.00%
	100.00%	56.63%	62.11%
	12.63%	49.47%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	43.37%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	12.00	83.00	95.00
	12.63%	87.37%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Housekeeping</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	12.63%	87.37%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	8.38	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	12.47	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Continuity Correction	6.64	1	.010		
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.29	1	.004		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.30			
	Cramer's V	.30			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * B_Pest_Control [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Pest_Control</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	17.00	42.00	59.00
	28.81%	71.19%	100.00%
	100.00%	53.85%	62.11%
	17.89%	44.21%	62.11%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	46.15%	37.89%
	.00%	37.89%	37.89%
Total	17.00	78.00	95.00
	17.89%	82.11%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Pest_Control</i>		Total
	0	1	
	17.89%	82.11%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	12.63	1	.000	.000	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.40	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	10.75	1	.001		
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.50	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	95				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.36			
	Cramer's V	.36			
N of Valid Cases		95			

High_Low * C_Mechanical_Systems [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Mechanical_Systems</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	31.00	28.00	59.00
	52.54%	47.46%	100.00%
	100.00%	44.44%	62.77%
	32.98%	29.79%	62.77%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	55.56%	37.23%
	.00%	37.23%	37.23%
Total	31.00	63.00	94.00
	32.98%	67.02%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	32.98%	67.02%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	27.44	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	37.56	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	25.11	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	27.15	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.54			
	Cramer's V	.54			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * D_Water_and_Waste [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Water_and_Waste</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	20.00	39.00	59.00
	33.90%	66.10%	100.00%
	100.00%	52.70%	62.77%
	21.28%	41.49%	62.77%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	47.30%	37.23%
	.00%	37.23%	37.23%
Total	20.00	74.00	94.00
	21.28%	78.72%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	21.28%	78.72%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	15.07	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	21.75	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	13.12	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.91	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	94				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.40			
	Cramer's V	.40			
N of Valid Cases		94			

High_Low * E_Lighting [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Lighting</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	1.00	51.00	52.00
	1.92%	98.08%	100.00%
	100.00%	62.96%	63.41%
	1.22%	62.20%	63.41%
<i>1</i>	.00	30.00	30.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	37.04%	36.59%
	.00%	36.59%	36.59%
<i>Total</i>	1.00	81.00	82.00
	1.22%	98.78%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.22%	98.78%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	.58	1	.445		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Likelihood Ratio Fisher's Exact Test	.92	1	.338	1.120	.634
Continuity Correction	.00	1	1.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.58	1	.448		
N of Valid Cases	82				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.08			
	Cramer's V	.08			
N of Valid Cases		82			

High_Low * Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	1.00	7.00	8.00
	12.50%	87.50%	100.00%
	100.00%	63.64%	66.67%
	8.33%	58.33%	66.67%
<i>1</i>	.00	4.00	4.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	36.36%	33.33%
	.00%	33.33%	33.33%
<i>Total</i>	1.00	11.00	12.00
	8.33%	91.67%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	8.33%	91.67%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi- Square	.55	1	.460		
Likelihood Ratio	.86	1	.355		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Fisher's Exact Test				1.059	.667
Continuity Correction	.00	1	1.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.50	1	.480		
N of Valid Cases	12				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.21			
	Cramer's V	.21			
N of Valid Cases		12			

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * F_Exits_and_Windows contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * H_Safety_Compliance contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * I_Smoking contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * Firearms contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * Alcoholic_Beverages contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * J_Pets contained no non-missing cases.

GET

GET FILE="/home/MyDropbox/ACTIVE/KIM/NM ECECD CCC KIM5.sav".

CROSSTABS

CROSSTABS

```

/TABLES= High_Low BY A_Types_of_Licenses
B_Renewal_of_License D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License A K
M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals E
F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities D_Complaints
A_Licensing_Requirements B_Capacity_of_Centers
B_3_c_Capacity_of_Centers C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements
A_Administrative_Records B_Mission
Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement C_Policy_and_Procedures
D_Family_Handbook E_Children_s_Records F_Personnel_Records
G_Personnel_Handbook A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements
B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes
A_Guidance A1_Guidance B_Naps_or_Rest_Period
C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers
D_Diapering_and_Toileting
E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs
F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care G_Physical_Environment
H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment I_Equipment_and_Program
J_Outdoor_Play_Areas K_Swimming Wading_and_Water L_Field_Trips
A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements B_Meals_and_Snacks B3_Meals_and_Snacks
C_Menus D_Kitchens E_Meal_Times A_Hygiene B_First_Aid_Requirements
C_Medication A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers
A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers A_Housekeeping
B_Pest_Control C_Mechanical_Systems D_Water_and_Waste E_Lighting
Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical F_Exits_and_Windows
G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities H_Safety_Compliance
H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance I_Smoking Firearms Alcoholic_Beverages
Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances J_Pets
/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES PIVOT
/STATISTICS=CHISQ PHI
/CELLS=COUNT ROW COLUMN TOTAL.

```

Summary.

	Cases
	Valid
	N
High_Low * A_Types_of_Licenses	32

	Cases
	Valid
	N
High_Low * B Renewal_of_License	32
High_Low * D Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License	30
High_Low * A	27
High_Low * K	42
High_Low * M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals	29
High_Low * E	53
High_Low * F Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities	67
High_Low * D Complaints	71
High_Low * A Licensing_Requirements	29
High_Low * B Capacity_of_Centers	71
High_Low * B 3_c Capacity_of_Centers	64
High_Low * C Incident_Reporting_Requirements	65
High_Low * A Administrative_Records	39
High_Low * B Mission	70
High_Low * Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement	71
High_Low * C Policy_and_Procedures	37
High_Low * D Family_Handbook	71
High_Low * E Children_s_Records	71
High_Low * F Personnel_Records	71
High_Low * G Personnel_Handbook	70
High_Low * A Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements	69
High_Low * B Staff_Qualifications_and_Training	70
High_Low * C Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes	46
High_Low * A Guidance	71
High_Low * A1_Guidance	41
High_Low * B Naps_or_Rest_Period	5
High_Low * C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers	71
High_Low * D Diapering_and_Toileting	70
High_Low * E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs	71
High_Low * F Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care	70
High_Low * G Physical_Environment	11
High_Low * H Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment	12
High_Low * I Equipment_and_Program	64
High_Low * J Outdoor_Play_Areas	66
High_Low * K Swimming	69
High_Low * Wading_and_Water	66

	Cases
	Valid N
High_Low * L_Field_Trips	65
High_Low * A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements	66
High_Low * B_Meals_and_Snacks	71
High_Low * B3_Meals_and_Snacks	71
High_Low * C_Menus	58
High_Low * D_Kitchens	57
High_Low * E_Meal_Times	33
High_Low * A_Hygiene	71
High_Low * B_First_Aid_Requirements	42
High_Low * C_Medication	70
High_Low * A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers	69
High_Low * A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers	71
High_Low * A_Housekeeping	71
High_Low * B_Pest_Control	71
High_Low * C_Mechanical_Systems	70
High_Low * D_Water_and_Waste	70
High_Low * E_Lighting	61
High_Low * Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical	7
High_Low * F_Exits_and_Windows	0
High_Low * G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities	0
High_Low * H_Safety_Compliance	0
High_Low * H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance	0
High_Low * I_Smoking	0
High_Low * Firearms	0
High_Low * Alcoholic_Beverages	0
High_Low * Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances	0
High_Low * J_Pets	0

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
45.1%	39	54.9%	71	100.0%
45.1%	39	54.9%	71	100.0%
42.3%	41	57.7%	71	100.0%
38.0%	44	62.0%	71	100.0%
59.2%	29	40.8%	71	100.0%
40.8%	42	59.2%	71	100.0%
74.6%	18	25.4%	71	100.0%

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
94.4%	4	5.6%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
40.8%	42	59.2%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
90.1%	7	9.9%	71	100.0%
91.5%	6	8.5%	71	100.0%
54.9%	32	45.1%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
52.1%	34	47.9%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
97.2%	2	2.8%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
64.8%	25	35.2%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
57.7%	30	42.3%	71	100.0%
7.0%	66	93.0%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
15.5%	60	84.5%	71	100.0%
16.9%	59	83.1%	71	100.0%
90.1%	7	9.9%	71	100.0%
93.0%	5	7.0%	71	100.0%
97.2%	2	2.8%	71	100.0%
93.0%	5	7.0%	71	100.0%
91.5%	6	8.5%	71	100.0%
93.0%	5	7.0%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
81.7%	13	18.3%	71	100.0%
80.3%	14	19.7%	71	100.0%
46.5%	38	53.5%	71	100.0%

Cases				
Valid	Missing		Total	
Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
59.2%	29	40.8%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
97.2%	2	2.8%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
100.0%	0	0.0%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
98.6%	1	1.4%	71	100.0%
85.9%	10	14.1%	71	100.0%
9.9%	64	90.1%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%
0.0%	71	100.0%	71	100.0%

High_Low * A_Types_of_Licenses [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Types_of_Licenses</i>	
	1	Total
0	10.00 100.00% 31.25% 31.25%	10.00 100.00% 31.25% 31.25%
1	22.00 100.00% 68.75% 68.75%	22.00 100.00% 68.75% 68.75%
Total	32.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	32.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	32		

High_Low * B_Renewal_of_License [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Renewal_of_License</i>	
	1	Total
0	11.00	11.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	34.38%	34.38%
	34.38%	34.38%
1	21.00	21.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	65.63%	65.63%
	65.63%	65.63%
Total	32.00	32.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	32		

High_Low * D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Non_transferable_Restrictions_of_License</i>	
	1	Total
0	10.00	10.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	33.33%	33.33%
	33.33%	33.33%
1	20.00	20.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	66.67%	66.67%
	66.67%	66.67%
Total	30.00	30.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	30		

High_Low * A [count, row %, column %, total %].

High_Low	A	
	1	Total
0	10.00	10.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	37.04%	37.04%
	37.04%	37.04%
1	17.00	17.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	62.96%	62.96%
	62.96%	62.96%
Total	27.00	27.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

Statistic	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
N of Valid Cases	27		

High_Low * K [count, row %, column %, total %].

High_Low	K	
	1	Total
0	20.00	20.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	47.62%	47.62%
	47.62%	47.62%
1	22.00	22.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	52.38%	52.38%
	52.38%	52.38%
Total	42.00	42.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

Statistic	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
N of Valid Cases	42		

High_Low * M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>M_Licensing_Actions_and_Administrative_Appeals</i>		Total
		1	
0	10.00 100.00%	34.48% 34.48%	10.00 100.00%
1	19.00 100.00%	65.52% 65.52%	19.00 100.00%
Total	29.00 100.00%	100.00% 100.00%	29.00 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	29		

High_Low * E [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E</i>	
	1	Total
0	25.00 100.00%	25.00 100.00%
	47.17% 47.17%	47.17% 47.17%
1	28.00 100.00%	28.00 100.00%
	52.83% 52.83%	52.83% 52.83%
Total	53.00 100.00%	53.00 100.00%
	100.00% 100.00%	100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	53		

High_Low * F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Surveys_for_Child_Care_Facilities</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	7.00 21.88% 100.00% 10.45%	25.00 78.13% 41.67% 37.31%	32.00 100.00% 47.76% 47.76%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 58.33% 52.24%	35.00 100.00% 52.24% 52.24%
Total	7.00 10.45% 100.00% 10.45%	60.00 89.55% 100.00% 89.55%	67.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	8.55	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	11.24	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.005	.004
Continuity Correction	6.37	1	.012		
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.42	1	.004		
N of Valid Cases	67				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.36			
	Cramer's V	.36			
N of Valid Cases		67			

High_Low * D_Complaints [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Complaints</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	12.00	23.00	35.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Complaints</i>		Total
	0	1	
	34.29%	65.71%	100.00%
	100.00%	38.98%	49.30%
	16.90%	32.39%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	61.02%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	12.00	59.00	71.00
	16.90%	83.10%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	16.90%	83.10%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	14.85	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	19.51	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	12.51	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.64	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.46			
	Cramer's V	.46			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * A_Licensing_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Licensing_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	9.00	9.00	18.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Licensing_Requirements</i>	
	1	Total
	31.03%	31.03%
	31.03%	31.03%
1	20.00	20.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	68.97%	68.97%
	68.97%	68.97%
Total	29.00	29.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	29		

High_Low * B Capacity_of_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Capacity_of_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	15.00	20.00	35.00
	42.86%	57.14%	100.00%
	100.00%	35.71%	49.30%
	21.13%	28.17%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	64.29%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	15.00	56.00	71.00
	21.13%	78.87%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	21.13%	78.87%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	19.56	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.42	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	17.07	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.29	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.52			
	Cramer's V	.52			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * B_3_c Capacity_of_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_3_c Capacity_of_Centers</i>		
	0	1	Total
0	1.00 3.45% 100.00% 1.56%	28.00 96.55% 44.44% 43.75%	29.00 100.00% 45.31% 45.31%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 55.56% 54.69%	35.00 100.00% 54.69% 54.69%
Total	1.00 1.56% 100.00% 1.56%	63.00 98.44% 100.00% 98.44%	64.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi- Square	1.23	1	.268		
Likelihood Ratio	1.60	1	.206		
Fisher's Exact Test				.906	.453
Continuity Correction	.01	1	.924		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.21	1	.272		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	64				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.14			
	Cramer's V	.14			
N of Valid Cases		64			

High_Low * C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Incident_Reporting_Requirements</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	1.00	29.00	30.00
	3.33%	96.67%	100.00%
	100.00%	45.31%	46.15%
	1.54%	44.62%	46.15%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	54.69%	53.85%
	.00%	53.85%	53.85%
Total	1.00	64.00	65.00
	1.54%	98.46%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.54%	98.46%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	1.18	1	.276		
Likelihood Ratio	1.56	1	.211		
Fisher's Exact Test				.888	.462
Continuity Correction	.01	1	.938		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.17	1	.280		
N of Valid Cases	65				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.14			
	Cramer's V	.14			
N of Valid Cases		65			

High_Low * A_Administrative_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Administrative_Records</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	15.00	24.00	39.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	38.46%	61.54%	61.54%
	38.46%	61.54%	61.54%
1	24.00	15.00	39.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	61.54%	38.46%	38.46%
	61.54%	38.46%	38.46%
Total	39.00	39.00	78.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	39		

High_Low * B_Mission [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Mission</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	32.00	3.00	35.00
	91.43%	8.57%	100.00%
	100.00%	7.89%	50.00%
	45.71%	4.29%	50.00%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	92.11%	50.00%
	.00%	50.00%	50.00%
Total	32.00	38.00	70.00
	45.71%	54.29%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Mission</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	45.71%	54.29%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	58.95	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	76.05	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	55.32	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	58.11	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.92			
	Cramer's V	.92			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	34.00	1.00	35.00
	97.14%	2.86%	100.00%
	100.00%	2.70%	49.30%
	47.89%	1.41%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	97.30%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	34.00	37.00	71.00
	47.89%	52.11%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Philosophy_and_Curriculum_Statement</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	47.89%	52.11%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	67.11	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	89.22	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	63.27	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	66.16	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.97			
	Cramer's V	.97			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * C_Policy_and_Procedures [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Policy_and_Procedures</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	15.00	22.00	37.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	40.54%	59.46%	100.00%
	40.54%	59.46%	100.00%
1	22.00	15.00	37.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	59.46%	40.54%	100.00%
	59.46%	40.54%	100.00%
Total	37.00	37.00	74.00
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Policy_and_Procedures</i>	Total
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	37		

High_Low * D_Family_Handbook [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Family_Handbook</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	9.00	26.00	35.00
	25.71%	74.29%	100.00%
	100.00%	41.94%	49.30%
	12.68%	36.62%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	58.06%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	9.00	62.00	71.00
	12.68%	87.32%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	12.68%	87.32%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	10.60	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	14.08	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Continuity Correction	8.41	1	.004		
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.45	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.39			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases	Cramer's V	.39			
		71			

High_Low * E_Children_s_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Children_s_Records</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	31.00	4.00	35.00
	88.57%	11.43%	100.00%
	100.00%	10.00%	49.30%
	43.66%	5.63%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	90.00%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	31.00	40.00	71.00
	43.66%	56.34%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	43.66%	56.34%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	56.60	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	72.41	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	53.05	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	55.80	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.89			
	Cramer's V	.89			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * F_Personnel_Records [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Personnel_Records</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	23.00	12.00	35.00
	65.71%	34.29%	100.00%
	100.00%	25.00%	49.30%
	32.39%	16.90%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	75.00%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	23.00	48.00	71.00
	32.39%	67.61%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	32.39%	67.61%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	34.99	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	44.43	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	32.06	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.50	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.70			
	Cramer's V	.70			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * G_Personnel_Handbook [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>G_Personnel_Handbook</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	3.00 8.57% 100.00% 4.29%	32.00 91.43% 47.76% 45.71%	35.00 100.00% 50.00% 50.00%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 52.24% 50.00%	35.00 100.00% 50.00% 50.00%
Total	3.00 4.29% 100.00% 4.29%	67.00 95.71% 100.00% 95.71%	70.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	3.13	1	.077	.151	.120
Likelihood Ratio	4.29	1	.038		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	1.39	1	.238		
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.09	1	.079		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.21			
	Cramer's V	.21			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * A_Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A Personnel_and_Staffing_Requirements</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	10.00 28.57% 100.00% 14.49%	25.00 71.43% 42.37% 36.23%	35.00 100.00% 50.72% 50.72%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	34.00 100.00% 57.63% 49.28%	34.00 100.00% 49.28% 49.28%
Total	10.00 14.49% 100.00% 14.49%	59.00 85.51% 100.00% 85.51%	69.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	11.36	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	15.23	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Continuity Correction	9.17	1	.002		
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.20	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	69				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.41			
	Cramer's V	.41			
N of Valid Cases		69			

High_Low * B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Staff_Qualifications_and_Training</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	18.00 51.43% 100.00% 25.71%	17.00 48.57% 32.69% 24.29%	35.00 100.00% 50.00% 50.00%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 67.31% 50.00%	35.00 100.00% 50.00% 50.00%
Total	18.00 25.71% 100.00% 25.71%	52.00 74.29% 100.00% 74.29%	70.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	24.23	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	31.31	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	21.61	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.88	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.59			
	Cramer's V	.59			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Staff_Child_Ratios_and_Group_Sizes</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	2.00 6.25% 100.00% 4.35%	30.00 93.75% 68.18% 65.22%	32.00 100.00% 69.57% 69.57%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	14.00 100.00% 31.82% 30.43%	14.00 100.00% 30.43% 30.43%
Total	2.00 4.35% 100.00% 4.35%	44.00 95.65% 100.00% 95.65%	46.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	.91	1	.339		
Likelihood Ratio	1.49	1	.222		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.012	.479
Continuity Correction	.03	1	.864		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.89	1	.344		
N of Valid Cases	46				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.14			
	Cramer's V	.14			
N of Valid Cases		46			

High_Low * A_Guidance [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Guidance</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	9.00	26.00	35.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Guidance</i>		Total
	0	1	
	25.71%	74.29%	100.00%
	100.00%	41.94%	49.30%
	12.68%	36.62%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	58.06%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	9.00	62.00	71.00
	12.68%	87.32%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	12.68%	87.32%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	10.60	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	14.08	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Continuity Correction	8.41	1	.004		
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.45	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.39			
	Cramer's V	.39			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * A1_Guidance [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A1_Guidance</i>	
	1	Total
0	13.00	13.00
	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A1_Guidance</i>	
	1	Total
	31.71%	31.71%
	31.71%	31.71%
1	28.00	28.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	68.29%	68.29%
	68.29%	68.29%
Total	41.00	41.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	41		

High_Low * B Naps_or_Rest_Period [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Naps_or_Rest_Period</i>	
	1	Total
0	3.00	3.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	60.00%	60.00%
	60.00%	60.00%
1	2.00	2.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	40.00%	40.00%
	40.00%	40.00%
Total	5.00	5.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	5		

High_Low * C Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers</i>	
	0	1
0	15.00	20.00
	42.86%	57.14%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Additional_Requirements_for_Infants_and_Toddlers</i>	
	0	1
	100.00%	35.71%
	21.13%	28.17%
1	.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%
	.00%	64.29%
	.00%	50.70%
Total	15.00	56.00
	21.13%	78.87%
	100.00%	100.00%
	21.13%	78.87%

<i>High_Low</i>	Total
0	35.00 100.00% 49.30% 49.30%
1	36.00 100.00% 50.70% 50.70%
Total	71.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	19.56	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.42	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	17.07	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.29	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.52			
	Cramer's V	.52			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * D_Diapering_and_Toileting [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Diapering_and_Toileting</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	5.00	30.00	35.00
	14.29%	85.71%	100.00%
	100.00%	46.15%	50.00%
	7.14%	42.86%	50.00%
<i>1</i>	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	53.85%	50.00%
	.00%	50.00%	50.00%
<i>Total</i>	5.00	65.00	70.00
	7.14%	92.86%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	7.14%	92.86%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	5.38	1	.020		
Likelihood Ratio	7.32	1	.007		
Fisher's Exact Test				.058	.027
Continuity Correction	3.45	1	.063		
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.31	1	.021		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.28			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases	Cramer's V	.28 70			

High_Low * E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs
[count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Additional_Requirements_for_Children_with_Special_Needs</i>	
	0	1
0	20.00 57.14% 100.00% 28.17%	15.00 42.86% 29.41% 21.13%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	36.00 100.00% 70.59% 50.70%
Total	20.00 28.17% 100.00% 28.17%	51.00 71.83% 100.00% 71.83%

<i>High_Low</i>	Total
0	35.00 100.00% 49.30% 49.30%
1	36.00 100.00% 50.70% 50.70%
Total	71.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	28.64	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Likelihood Ratio Fisher's Exact Test	36.62	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction	25.88	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.24	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.64			
	Cramer's V	.64			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>F_Additional_Requirements_for_Night_Care</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	24.00	11.00	35.00
	68.57%	31.43%	100.00%
	100.00%	23.91%	50.00%
	34.29%	15.71%	50.00%
<i>1</i>	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	76.09%	50.00%
	.00%	50.00%	50.00%
<i>Total</i>	24.00	46.00	70.00
	34.29%	65.71%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	34.29%	65.71%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi- Square	36.52	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	46.43	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	33.54	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	36.00	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.72			
	Cramer's V	.72			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * G_Physical_Environment [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>G_Physical_Environment</i>	
	1	Total
0	2.00 100.00% 18.18% 18.18%	2.00 100.00% 18.18% 18.18%
1	9.00 100.00% 81.82% 81.82%	9.00 100.00% 81.82% 81.82%
Total	11.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	11.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	11		

High_Low * H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>H_Social_Emotional_Responsive_Environment</i>	
	1	Total
0	5.00 100.00% 41.67% 41.67%	5.00 100.00% 41.67% 41.67%
1	7.00 100.00% 58.33% 58.33%	7.00 100.00% 58.33% 58.33%
Total	12.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	12.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	12		

High_Low * I_Equipment_and_Program [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>I_Equipment_and_Program</i>	
	1	Total
0	33.00 100.00% 51.56% 51.56%	33.00 100.00% 51.56% 51.56%
1	31.00 100.00% 48.44% 48.44%	31.00 100.00% 48.44% 48.44%
Total	64.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	64.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	64		

High_Low * J_Outdoor_Play_Areas [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>J_Outdoor_Play_Areas</i>	
	1	Total
0	34.00	34.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>J_Outdoor_Play_Areas</i>	
	1	Total
	100.00%	100.00%
	51.52%	51.52%
	51.52%	51.52%
1	32.00	32.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	48.48%	48.48%
	48.48%	48.48%
Total	66.00	66.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	66		

High_Low * K_Swimming [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>K_Swimming</i>	
	1	Total
0	35.00	35.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	50.72%	50.72%
	50.72%	50.72%
1	34.00	34.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	49.28%	49.28%
	49.28%	49.28%
Total	69.00	69.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	69		

High_Low * Wading_and_Water [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Wading_and_Water</i>	
	1	Total
0	34.00	34.00
	100.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Wading_and_Water</i>	
	1	Total
	51.52%	51.52%
	51.52%	51.52%
1	32.00	32.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	48.48%	48.48%
	48.48%	48.48%
Total	66.00	66.00
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	66		

High_Low * L_Field_Trips [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>L_Field_Trips</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	24.00	11.00	35.00
	68.57%	31.43%	100.00%
	100.00%	26.83%	53.85%
	36.92%	16.92%	53.85%
1	.00	30.00	30.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	73.17%	46.15%
	.00%	46.15%	46.15%
Total	24.00	41.00	65.00
	36.92%	63.08%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	36.92%	63.08%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	32.61	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	42.04	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	29.74	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Linear-by-Linear Association	32.11	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	65				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.71			
	Cramer's V	.71			
N of Valid Cases		65			

High_Low * A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Meal_Pattern_Requirements</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	1.00 3.03% 100.00%	32.00 96.97% 49.23%	33.00 100.00% 50.00%
<i>1</i>	1.52% 48.48% 50.00%	.00 100.00% 50.77%	33.00 100.00% 50.00%
<i>Total</i>	1.00 1.52% 100.00%	65.00 98.48% 100.00%	66.00 100.00% 100.00%
	1.52% 98.48%		50.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	1.02	1	.314		
Likelihood Ratio	1.40	1	.236		
Fisher's Exact Test				.822	.500
Continuity Correction	.00	1	1.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.00	1	.317		
N of Valid Cases	66				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.12			
	Cramer's V	.12			
N of Valid Cases		66			

High_Low * B_Meals_and_Snacks [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	4.00	31.00	35.00
	11.43%	88.57%	100.00%
	100.00%	46.27%	49.30%
	5.63%	43.66%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	53.73%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	4.00	67.00	71.00
	5.63%	94.37%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	5.63%	94.37%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	4.36	1	.037		
Likelihood Ratio	5.90	1	.015		
Fisher's Exact Test				.066	.054
Continuity Correction	2.48	1	.116		
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.30	1	.038		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.25			
	Cramer's V	.25			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * B3_Meals_and_Snacks [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B3_Meals_and_Snacks</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	13.00 37.14% 100.00% 18.31%	22.00 62.86% 37.93% 30.99%	35.00 100.00% 49.30% 49.30%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	36.00 100.00% 62.07% 50.70%	36.00 100.00% 50.70% 50.70%
Total	13.00 18.31% 100.00% 18.31%	58.00 81.69% 100.00% 81.69%	71.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	16.37	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	21.42	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	13.98	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.14	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.48			
	Cramer's V	.48			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * C_Menus [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Menus</i>		<i>Total</i>
	0	1	
0	14.00	14.00	28.00
	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	31.82%	48.28%
	24.14%	24.14%	48.28%
1	.00	30.00	30.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	68.18%	51.72%
	.00%	51.72%	51.72%
Total	14.00	44.00	58.00
	24.14%	75.86%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	24.14%	75.86%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	19.77	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.29	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	17.14	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.43	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	58				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.58			
	Cramer's V	.58			
N of Valid Cases		58			

High_Low * D_Kitchens [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Kitchens</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
<i>0</i>	5.00	24.00	29.00
	17.24%	82.76%	100.00%
	100.00%	46.15%	50.88%
	8.77%	42.11%	50.88%
<i>1</i>	.00	28.00	28.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	53.85%	49.12%
	.00%	49.12%	49.12%
<i>Total</i>	5.00	52.00	57.00
	8.77%	91.23%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	8.77%	91.23%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	5.29	1	.021	.055	.028
Likelihood Ratio	7.22	1	.007		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	3.36	1	.067		
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.20	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	57				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.30			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases	Cramer's V	.30			
		57			

High_Low * E_Meal_Times [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Meal_Times</i>		<i>Total</i>
	0	1	
0	20.00	5.00	25.00
	80.00%	20.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	38.46%	75.76%
	60.61%	15.15%	75.76%
1	.00	8.00	8.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	61.54%	24.24%
	.00%	24.24%	24.24%
Total	20.00	13.00	33.00
	60.61%	39.39%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	60.61%	39.39%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	16.25	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	19.23	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	13.07	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.75	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	33				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.70			
	Cramer's V	.70			

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
N of Valid Cases		33			

High_Low * A_Hygiene [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Hygiene</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	
0	35.00	.00	35.00
	100.00%	.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	.00%	49.30%
	49.30%	.00%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	100.00%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	35.00	36.00	71.00
	49.30%	50.70%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	49.30%	50.70%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	71.00	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	98.41	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	67.06	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	70.00	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	1.00			
	Cramer's V	1.00			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * B_First_Aid_Requirements [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_First_Aid_Requirements</i>		Total
		1	
0	12.00 100.00%	28.57%	12.00 100.00%
1	30.00 100.00%	71.43%	30.00 100.00%
Total	42.00 100.00%	71.43%	42.00 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	42		

High_Low * C_Medication [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Medication</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	8.00 22.86%	27.00 77.14%	35.00 100.00%
1	.00 .00%	35.00 100.00%	35.00 100.00%
Total	8.00 11.43%	62.00 88.57%	70.00 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	9.03	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	12.13	1	.000		

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Continuity Correction	6.92	1	.009		
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.90	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.36			
	Cramer's V	.36			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_D_Illness_Requirements_for_Centers</i>	
	1	Total
0	33.00 100.00% 47.83% 47.83%	33.00 100.00% 47.83% 47.83%
1	36.00 100.00% 52.17% 52.17%	36.00 100.00% 52.17% 52.17%
Total	69.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	69.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>
N of Valid Cases	69		

High_Low * A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_H_Transportation_Requirements_for_Centers</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	26.00 74.29% 100.00% 36.62%	9.00 25.71% 20.00% 12.68%	35.00 100.00% 49.30% 49.30%
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	36.00 100.00% 80.00% 50.70%	36.00 100.00% 50.70% 50.70%
Total	26.00 36.62% 100.00% 36.62%	45.00 63.38% 100.00% 63.38%	71.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	42.19	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	53.38	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	39.05	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	41.60	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.77			
	Cramer's V	.77			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * A_Housekeeping [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Housekeeping</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	7.00	28.00	35.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>A_Housekeeping</i>		Total
	0	1	
	20.00%	80.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	43.75%	49.30%
	9.86%	39.44%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	56.25%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	7.00	64.00	71.00
	9.86%	90.14%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	9.86%	90.14%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	7.99	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	10.69	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.005
Continuity Correction	5.90	1	.015		
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.88	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.34			
	Cramer's V	.34			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * B_Pest_Control [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Pest_Control</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	14.00	21.00	35.00
	40.00%	60.00%	100.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>B_Pest_Control</i>		Total
	0	1	
	100.00%	36.84%	49.30%
	19.72%	29.58%	49.30%
1	.00	36.00	36.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	63.16%	50.70%
	.00%	50.70%	50.70%
Total	14.00	57.00	71.00
	19.72%	80.28%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	19.72%	80.28%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	17.94	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.39	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	15.50	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.68	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	71				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.50			
	Cramer's V	.50			
N of Valid Cases		71			

High_Low * C_Mechanical_Systems [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Mechanical_Systems</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	19.00	16.00	35.00
	54.29%	45.71%	100.00%
	100.00%	31.37%	50.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>C_Mechanical_Systems</i>		Total
	0	1	
	27.14%	22.86%	50.00%
1	.00	35.00	35.00
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	68.63%	50.00%
	.00%	50.00%	50.00%
Total	19.00	51.00	70.00
	27.14%	72.86%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	27.14%	72.86%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	26.08	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	33.59	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	23.41	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	25.71	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.61			
	Cramer's V	.61			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * D_Water_and_Waste [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Water_and_Waste</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	14.00	21.00	35.00
	40.00%	60.00%	100.00%
	100.00%	37.50%	50.00%
	20.00%	30.00%	50.00%

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>D_Water_and_Waste</i>		Total
	0	1	
1	.00 .00% .00% .00%	35.00 100.00% 62.50% 50.00%	35.00 100.00% 50.00% 50.00%
Total	14.00 20.00% 100.00% 20.00%	56.00 80.00% 100.00% 80.00%	70.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	17.50	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	22.95	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Continuity Correction	15.09	1	.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.25	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	70				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.50			
	Cramer's V	.50			
N of Valid Cases		70			

High_Low * E_Lighting [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Lighting</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	1.00 3.23% 100.00% 1.64%	30.00 96.77% 50.00% 49.18%	31.00 100.00% 50.82% 50.82%
1	.00	30.00	30.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>E_Lighting</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	50.00%	49.18%
	.00%	49.18%	49.18%
Total	1.00	60.00	61.00
	1.64%	98.36%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	1.64%	98.36%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	.98	1	.321	1.300	.508
Likelihood Ratio	1.37	1	.242		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.00	1	1.000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.97	1	.325		
N of Valid Cases	61				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.13			
	Cramer's V	.13			
N of Valid Cases		61			

High_Low * Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical [count, row %, column %, total %].

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical</i>		Total
	0	1	
0	1.00	2.00	3.00
	33.33%	66.67%	100.00%
	100.00%	33.33%	42.86%
	14.29%	28.57%	42.86%
1	.00	4.00	4.00

<i>High_Low</i>	<i>Lighting_Fixtures_and_Electrical</i>		Total
	0	1	
	.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	.00%	66.67%	57.14%
	.00%	57.14%	57.14%
Total	1.00	6.00	7.00
	14.29%	85.71%	100.00%
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	14.29%	85.71%	100.00%

Chi-square tests.

<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (2-tailed)</i>	<i>Exact Sig. (1-tailed)</i>
Pearson Chi-Square	1.56	1	.212	.673	.429
Likelihood Ratio	1.92	1	.166		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Continuity Correction	.02	1	.876		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.33	1	.248		
N of Valid Cases	7				

Symmetric measures.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Statistic</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Asymp. Std. Error</i>	<i>Approx. T</i>	<i>Approx. Sig.</i>
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.47			
	Cramer's V	.47			
N of Valid Cases		7			

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * F_Exits_and_Windows contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * G_Toilet_and_Bathing_Facilities contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * H_Safety_Compliance contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low *
H3_f_i_j_k_l_Safety_Compliance contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * I_Smoking
contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * Firearms contained
no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low *
Alcoholic_Beverages contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low *
Illegal_Drugs_and_Controlled_Substances contained no non-missing cases.

.38: warning: CROSSTABS: Crosstabulation High_Low * J_Pets contained no
non-missing cases.